



# The Euro Realist Bulletin

An occasional bulletin

campaigning for an EU free Britain &

Parliamentary sovereignty

Edition 43

NOVEMBER 2017

## A DOGS BREXIT

The Prime Minister, Theresa May, had been warned the UK would be “screwed” in the Brexit negotiations if she triggered Article 50 too early. This was the warning given by Britain’s former EU ambassador, Sir Ivan Rogers, who quit his role in January. He had warned the moment you invoke Article 50 the other 27 EU nations dictate the rules of the game and they will set up the rules in the way that most suits them.

**Sadly**, this seems to be the case as Mrs May has been wrong footed throughout the negotiations, which in reality, the UK should have had the advantage from the start. The EU needs an amicable deal as its businesses sell far more to the UK than British businesses to the EU. Sadly, its urge to punish the British EU heretics overrides all logic, they are terrified other nations, who have a growing number of anti-EU groups, will want to follow the UK's lead and return to full sovereignty.

**Mrs May** had the upper hand from the start but has thrown it away, she has not been helped by the remainers, the whole thing is a dogs Brexit!

## BBC BIAS

Reported in the Sunday Telegraph on Sunday 22nd October, was the fact the BBC invited a third more pro-EU than anti-EU speakers to appear during the election campaign earlier this year.

The report carried out by News-watch found that out of 375 contributors to the BBC programmes, 189 were supportive of the EU. The report, carried out on behalf of a cross party group of Eurosceptic MPs, also revealed that many BBC reporters "one-sidedly emphasised the difficulties of Brexit" and put due weight on the disadvantages of leaving the EU. The BBC also mainly ignored left-wing arguments for leaving the EU.

## EDUCATING CHRIS

Wishing to learn how Brexit was being taught in universities as part of research for a book, Chris Heaton-Harris sent a polite letter to these establishments asking for this information, the result was outrage.

Many anti-EU speakers who took part in the Civitas schools EU debate programme quickly discovered the vast majority of teachers, and lecturers, were pro-EU, this reflected in the attitudes of the students who, apart from a few exceptions, were also pro-EU.

From the experience of many during this period all educational establishments were biased in favour of remaining as EU members which influenced the attitudes of their students. The letter sent by Mr Heaton-Harris MP to universities was perfectly reasonable, it can only be presumed the outrage it has created is due to the fact these establishments do not want to reveal the pro-EU bias they know they are guilty of.

## The Freedom Association

**IF YOU BELIEVE IN FREEDOM JOIN THE FREEDOM ASSOCIATION**

**Membership (cost £30 per annum)**

**Contact: The Freedom Association,  
Richwood House, 1 Trinity School Lane,  
Cheltenham, Gloucestershire, GL52 2JL**

**Tel: 01242 235333**

**WEB-SITE: [www.tfa.net](http://www.tfa.net)**

**E-MAIL: [mail@tfa.net](mailto:mail@tfa.net)**

For page 2 scroll down

## SIR TEDDY TAYLOR

### AN OBITUARY BY STUART NOTHOLT

It was unfortunate there was little space left in the October edition of the Euro Realist for an obituary to Sir Teddy Taylor, which did not do him justice. The CIB have very kindly allowed the reproduction of their much more worthy obituary to the great man to be used in this edition, it was written by Stuart Notholt of the CIB. He writes:

**Sir Teddy Taylor** shares with a number of colleagues – and with the Campaign for Independent Britain – the rare distinction of having campaigned against British membership of the then Common Market even before the UK joined in 1973. He was one of the first politicians of any party to make a principled stand on the European issue, famously resigning from his post as a Scottish Office minister over Edward Heath's insistence on taking Britain into the European project.

**After the devastating** blows of British accession to the Common Market and defeat in the 1975 referendum, Teddy refused to bow down and, through a series of groups with innocuous titles such as the European Reform Information Centre and Conservative European Reform Group, set about subverting the Conservative Party – then probably the most europhile of all the major parties.

Now, before today's hardliners throw a fit at the word 'reform', it has to be remembered that arguing for change was then the only way of putting the political and economic defects of the Common Market onto the agenda at all, and, perhaps, sowing the first seeds of doubt in the overwhelmingly pro-Common Market Tory Party. The political landscape in the early 1980s was so hostile to what we now call Euroscepticism that 'withdrawal' was a truth that dared not yet speak its name. Indeed, that vital tipping point in the story of Euroscepticism did not come until the early 1990s, when 'reforming Europe' ceased to be a shorthand for "let's get out" and became instead the siren call of Conservatives and others, who, when confronted with the myriad failings of the EU, wanted to see it miraculously change so that Britain could happily remain a part of it.

**Teddy recognized** that freeing Britain from the EEC was probably going to be a long and intergenerational struggle. He encouraged and befriended young Eurosceptic campaigners and – a born activist himself – enthusiastically joined in their guerrilla war against the 'leadership' of the dismally pro-Brussels Young Conservatives. Politics alongside Teddy was never dull, with every European lunacy being summarily dispatched with his catchphrase put-down "it's absolutely horrendous!" – generally delivered through plumes of cigarette smoke. (Teddy eventually gave up the ciggies, but never his dream of

an independent Britain.)

**Meanwhile**, the European project continued to be controlled by an ever-centralizing political process, which saw the creation of the European Union, complete with flag, citizenship, and – shortly – single currency, under the Maastricht Treaty in 1992. Unsurprisingly, Teddy joined with other patriotic colleagues in Westminster in opposing Maastricht tooth and nail – becoming one of the 'whiplash wonders' who were kicked out of the parliamentary Conservative Party for their temerity.

**By the mid-1990s**, the gloves were coming off and the word 'withdrawal' was increasingly being voiced, first as a whisper and then with growing confidence, in the ranks of the Conservative Party. True, there remained (and still is) a massive disconnect between the views of the increasingly Eurosceptic grassroots Tory membership and the leadership on the European question, but the seeds that Teddy had sown had taken root and were to flourish in the thousands of Conservative activists who fought alongside members of other parties and none to win the 2016 referendum.

**In CIB**, we remember and honour Teddy for his Euroscepticism, but it should not be forgotten either that he was a superb constituency representative who operated virtually as an independent MP in his Southend East fiefdom. I well remember campaigning in a Southend awash with fluorescent yellow 'Vote Teddy Taylor' posters – Teddy had dumped the anodyne blue of the Conservative Party in favour of lurid campaigning materials that were probably visible from space. No Tory candidate would get away with going 'off brand' like that today, and even in the 1980s this defiance of Central Office was exceptional. Yet it summed up Teddy's independence and his absolute conviction that he was there for his constituents first, and for the Conservative Party a sometimes poor second.

**With Teddy's passing** we say farewell to another of that small band of individuals of whom it can be said, without hyperbole, that without them there would be no Brexit. Teddy Taylor, patriot and comrade, you fought the fight from start to finish with honour, tenacity, and humour. We salute you and we thank you.

**Anyone wishing to hear Sir Teddy in action, please see [this video](#). He does not appear until after four minutes.**



Sir Teddy Taylor: long standing CIB supporter.

## OUT OF THE FRYING PAN, INTO THE FIRE?

BY JOHN PETLEY

Germany's recent federal election cast further doubt on the oft-repeated but increasingly discredited wisdom that "elections are won on the centre ground". Since becoming Chancellor, Angela Merkel has moved the centre of gravity of her supposedly centre-right CDU (*Christlich Demokratische Union*) party away from distinctly conservative policies towards a less ideological position referred to by many commentators as the "centre ground". The net result was her party won its lowest share of the vote since 1949. The German Socialist Party, the SPD (*Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands*), also performed badly. Its 20.5% share of the vote marked a post-war low and likewise coincided with a marked shift away from the party's traditional socialism.

**Social Democratic** parties have had a particularly hard time in recent elections. Benoît Hamon, the candidate from France's socialist party polled a mere 6.36% in the first round of France's Presidential election. Worse still, in the second of the two General Elections held in Greece in 2015, Pasok, the historic socialist party, came fourth with only 6.3% of the vote. In both countries, new left-wing parties more in line with Jeremy Corbyn's ideology, *La France Insoumise* and *Syriza* respectively, now enjoy greater support. In Spain, a similar "far left" party, *Podemos*, gave the traditional socialist party a close run for its money in that country's last general election. Meanwhile, Germany now has *Die Linke*, another avowedly Socialist party, which took 9.2% of the vote in the recent General Election.

**Of course**, the so-called "centre right" has also been losing support – and not just in Germany. Poland's Centre Right Civic Platform (*Platforma Obywatelska*), led by the current EU Council President Donald Tusk, was ousted by the populist Law & Justice party in the 2014 General Election and the *Républicains* party, the French equivalent of Germany's CDU, did not perform well in the country's elections earlier this year.

**Wherever** one looks, it seems, the centre ground is being hollowed out. Some commentators have expressed their grave concern, fearing that the parties to which increasing numbers of disillusioned voters are turning instead are "extremist". In Germany, for example, the relative success of *Alternative für Deutschland*, which secured 12.6% of the vote in the recent election, saw the party vilified as neo-Nazi, far right and all sort of other ugly things. This does pose the question as to how many members of the hysterical commentariat have actually bothered to read the party's manifesto.

**I was kindly sent** an English language copy and I have to say, having skim-read the full 96 pages, I can vouch for there being hardly anything which would cause concern to any normal person. The statement "Islam does not belong to Germany" may upset some, but it is not as if the party is proposing to expel or persecute the country's Moslems. On the contrary, it says "Many Muslims live as law-abiding and well-integrated citizens amongst us, and are accepted and valued members of our society."

**Wherever one looks, it seems, the centre ground is being hollowed out.**

**Some of the party's** sternest critics have been found from the ranks of the CDU and, in particular, the SPD. Like the vocal

minority over here who have labelled UKIP racists, neo-fascists and so on, their vitriolic and exaggerated language shows exactly why voters are rejecting the traditional parties – namely because the centre ground they supposedly represent isn't moderate. Rather, it is intolerant, self-righteous and in many cases, anti-democratic. Moreover, the malign influence of the EU has eliminated many of the historic differences between left and right. I personally felt that the phrase "Lib-Lab-Con" was rather overused a few years back, yet it did make a legitimate point that in the days of Cameron, Blair and Clegg, there really didn't seem to be much to choose between the views of the three party leaders.

**I used the word** "views" rather than "ideologies" quite deliberately because none of them appeared to have any sort of consistent ideology at all. Take the contentious subject of LGBT rights. The defeat of Gordon Brown's Labour Party in 2010 did not signal a change of thinking on this issue. It was Cameron's government which brought in gay marriage and the then PM never sought to accuse his coalition partners of forcing his hand on this issue. Indeed, in his resignation speech in 2016, he indicated his personal satisfaction at having legalised it.

**There is something** deeply inconsistent and worrying here. The leaders of Labour, the Tories and the Lib Dems all seem perfectly happy that participants in one form of health-damaging behaviour which costs the NHS a great deal – namely smoking – are being squeezed harder and harder by successive legislation with the few voices sticking up for them being largely ignored. At the same time, participants in another form of equally health-damaging activity which also probably costs the NHS a lot of money – in this case, gay sex – have been positively encouraged by both Labour and Conservative governments and it is their critics, especially if they happen to be Christians, who

**Continued on page 4.....**

**For page 4 scroll down**

**Out of the frying pan continued:**

are finding themselves under pressure. Where is the logic here?

Another very different example of the hollowing out of the centre is the debate on the now-aborted EU-US trade deal, TTIP. Why on earth was a socialist like Germany's Martin Schulz, the former leader of the European Parliament, using every method at his disposal to force this deal through, even though it would have increased the power of big business (which socialists are meant to hate) and would have left democratically elected governments liable to massive fines levied by judges acting on behalf of those big businesses? The answer is that it was seen as being in the EU's interest and loyalty to the EU matters more than any other ideological principle whether from the Left or the Right. If you dare to criticise the perceived wisdom, you are *de facto* an extremist, even if in reality, the real extremists are those who are defining in a very arbitrary way what is and is not acceptable.

I recently attended a fringe meeting of the Labour euro Safeguards Committee held during the party's annual conference in Brighton. A number of speakers made the point that the radical socialist programme which Jeremy Corbyn and John McDonnell would like to introduce would be impossible if we were members of the EU. Now whether you like socialism or not (and personally, I don't, for reasons that will be apparent shortly), you must still concede that it is not a healthy state of affairs when an unaccountable bureaucracy can override the democratic wishes of the people. This has recently happened in Greece. Following a referendum on austerity organised by the hard-left *Syriza* party, which won the election on a platform of ending EU-imposed austerity, the country was brought to its knees by the so-called "Troika" (the European Central Bank, the European Commission and the International Monetary Fund) and forced to implement a privatisation programme.

This brings us to the second reason why the traditional parties are losing support. Not only are few of them "moderate" but they are perceived as mere puppets whose strings are pulled by powerful, shadowy interests. I was recently sent a flyer for a book called *Inclusive Capitalism* by David Green of *Civitas*. It neatly summarised the seismic shift which has caused this disillusion. "The challenge today is not to choose between the left and the right, the market and the state, open or closed societies, or free trade and protection," wrote Mr Green. "Rather, it is to decide whether we want the overwhelming concentration of unaccountable

.....  
**This brings us to the second reason why the traditional parties are losing support. Not only are few of them "moderate" but they are perceived as mere puppets**  
.....

power, or dispersed and accountable power in national democracies in which rulers are temporary office holders who act as trustees for the people themselves."

A thought-provoking summary, to which one must add that "unaccountable power" includes not just politicians and EU bureaucrats, but bankers, the multinationals who have turned Brussels into a lobbyist's paradise and clandestine organisations like the Bilderbergers, Common Purpose and so on. It is hardly surprising that so many conspiracy theories are currently circulating on the internet. Whatever these groups are really up to (and I have no idea how many of the rumours are true), it is the so-called "centrists" – the Blairs and Clintons of this world along with most of the EU elite – who are perceived to be hand-in-glove with them – managing rather than leading and ignoring the will of the people. No

wonder trust in politicians is so low.

This management style, especially if coupled to the self-righteousness which epitomised Blair and to a lesser degree, Cameron and Osborne, will inevitably result in a very top-down approach to government, with democracy being downgraded and little real dissent allowed. Occasionally, however, things don't go according to plan. The Labour Party discovered this when choosing a successor to David Miliband. It was good to create the illusion of real debate and allow a range of voices from within the party to be paraded before party members. Not in a million years did most of the MPs who nominated Jeremy Corbyn expect or even desire that he would win. He then went on to confound his critics by giving the Tories the fright of their lives in this year's General Election Campaign.

The Corbyn phenomenon is an understandable reaction to the spotlight being shone on the true nature of the so-called centre ground – in particular, the veniality and management-style of leadership associated with New Labour. Corbyn is viewed as the principled outsider who believes in what he says and who has returned the party to its members. Whether or not this is actually true, last June, some 40% of voters ignored his hobnobbing with terrorists and his economically ruinous tax-and-spend policies because he came across as a genuine man who cared.

I have no doubt that Mr Corbyn is a reasonably affable person. In the unlikely event of my ever meeting him, I could imagine enjoying a perfectly pleasant conversation about our shared interest in vegetable gardening. With some of his supporters and even his close associates, however, it's a different matter. What of those protestors who heckled Jacob

**Continued on page 5.....**

**For page 5 scroll down**

**Out of the frying pan continued:**

Rees-Mogg at a fringe event in Manchester, calling him “despicable” and saying he had “disgusting views”? Corbyn’s staunchest supporters in the House of Commons are even worse. Laura Pidcock, the newly-elected MP for North West Durham is a Corbyn admirer who says Conservatives are “the enemy”, adding “Whatever type they are, it’s visceral. I’m not interested in being cosy with Tories.”

**What is especially** worrying is that some of these people never grow up. Look at Corbyn’s silver-haired deputy John McDonnell, He has been an MP for 20 years but still seems to have failed to grasp that we live in a parliamentary democracy. Last year, he addressed a rally in Trafalgar Square. “We don’t have to wait until *{the next General Election}*” he said, “We’ve got to work to bring the government down at the earliest possible opportunity.”

**Although many** who have leaped on the Corbyn bandwagon may not realise it, they have jumped out of the frying pan into the fire. The only aspect in which the newly re-energised left is an improvement on Blairism is that it does not try to hide behind the myth of coming from the centre or being “moderate”. The big worry is that this crowd could be running the country in less than five years’ time. The scale of the ensuing disaster would dwarf even the hardest and most chaotic of Brexit scenarios.

**Why can** I be so sure? In a nutshell, because the socialism which the Corbynistas espouse has already been tested to destruction in every conceivable variant during the last 100 years and on every occasion has been weighed and found seriously wanting. The Russian Revolution 100 years ago, Mao’s China, Pol Pot’s Cambodia, Castro’s Cuba, Chávez’s Venezuela and even Attlee’s post-war Britain all messed up the economy after seemingly promising starts. Furthermore, with the exception of Attlee, hand in hand with economic problems has come severe repression.

**The reason** why socialism isn’t the answer to the disillusion with the so-called centre is quite simple. It’s not just that you run out of other people’s money to spend. Socialism places enormous power in the hands of the state and those who lead it. The unquestioning belief that socialists like Jeremy Corbyn and his team will somehow be different from every other type of ruler, using their power in a uniquely benign manner, is not only naïve in the extreme, but disproved by history. Anyone hoping that a Corbynista government would preside over genuinely free speech only has to consider the no-platforming in our universities, the rabble who so abused Jacob Rees-Mogg or the persistent allegations of anti-semitism which has dogged the Labour Party ever since Corbyn become leader.

**The big mistake** made by many of those

who enter politics – and not just those on the political left - is to assume that the political ideology they support can deliver some sort of utopia. None of them will and for the very good reason that society is made up of fallible people like you and me. The Bible’s doctrine of human sinfulness is not a popular one, especially in these times where self-esteem is encouraged by a multi-million pound industry, but world history during the last 100 years is surely more than sufficient evidence that man is not intrinsically good.

**The contribution** of Christianity to the development of political thought, particularly in the years 1550-1700 when its influence was at its greatest, is largely forgotten. This is a great pity as the twin concept of government existing to restrain wickedness, but at the same time being restricted in its scope because the human nature of the governors is no better than that of the governed contains much common sense, whether you believe in God or not. Furthermore, it works! Our own country, along with Switzerland, the Netherlands and the USA, have been successful because they have applied these principles. Christian morality has undergirded limited, accountable government, welfare and education were handled by local communities rather than the state, little restriction was placed on freedom of expression, regulation was kept to a minimum, taxes were low and entrepreneurs flourished. It wasn’t paradise on earth but was unquestionably the least bad of all the alternatives, past or present.

**Brexit gives us** a great opportunity to reboot our political process. It would be a tragedy, however, to abandon the unaccountable EU and reject the soft tyranny of the so-called centrists only to replace them with a system which has an even worse track record when it comes to creating freedom and prosperity. We can but hope that “peak Corbyn” will occur well before 2022’s General Election. He has no silver bullet which will ensure that his version of socialism will work where all others have failed so miserably. When disillusion sets in – hopefully well before the man gets anywhere near a position of power - it will be time unashamedly to tell his many disillusioned followers about our greatest years as a country. They won’t have been taught much in their history lessons but we can show that the same principles which worked so well for us in the past can have a role to play today in building a free, prosperous country fit for the 21<sup>st</sup> century. It will not be easy to tackle the brainwashing that has gone on in our schools and universities and we will no doubt find ourselves facing abuse from the same type of mindless morons who gave Jacob Rees-Mogg such a hard time recently. Are we willing to rise to the challenge? I hope so or else we may find that our battle to secure Brexit may turn into nothing more than a pyrrhic victory.

**For page 6 scroll down**

## WHAT NOW BY COLIN BULLEN

Although, for those of us who remember how he dominated British politics fifty years ago, it is hard to believe that Harold Wilson has faded from the memory of the public that is the case. Now he is probably remembered by those who concern themselves with public affairs for only three things, the creation of the Open University in 1969, the biased and mendacious referendum on the EU in 1975, and for his oft quoted comment, which has achieved long lasting fame, that “a week is a long time on politics”, said in the run up to his first general election victory. It has even eclipsed the famous, and equally accurate, riposte from the same era when Mandy Rice-Davies answered “Well he would, wouldn't he?” to a question at the trial of Stephen Ward.

**Nothing in the years** since has summed up better the reality that perceptions and attitudes can change faster in political matters than in most fields of human endeavour, and we have seen shining examples within the past couple of years. On the 22nd June 2016 David Cameron and the political establishment were still riding high, yet two days later they were plunged into chaos, as the British people rejected their beloved EU. The day before the American election last year the cosy club of Washington insiders were confident that the ultra liberal Hilary Clinton would win, only to be shell shocked by the victory of someone who represented the ordinary people of the USA, who were sick of the mess into which their country had been led by people such as her.

**If a week** is a long time, then how much longer does the approximately sixteen months since the referendum seem. When those of us who had spent years, or even decades, working against the EU, cheered the result at numerous counts around the country, we had hopes that a new dawn was upon us, and that freedom beckoned. However, even then, I said that we had won a battle, and not the war, and now we can see just how far the political establishment, and their creatures, are prepared to go to thwart the will of the people.

**I suspect** that many of those UKIP voters who decided to return to their traditional parties at the last general election, based on a belief that Brexit was an accomplished fact, are now regretting their decision. What we have seen since the election is the political establishment moving to undermine the result of the referendum, and indeed to reverse it entirely.

**While there are those**, certainly within the Labour and Conservative parties, who do put principle first, they are few and far between, and they are certainly not the party leaders. The Conservative party establishment has always betrayed the people over



Colin Bullen:

Europe, ever since Edward Heath persuaded the party conference to declare themselves the party of Europe. Even Margaret Thatcher, who eventually awoke to the reality, campaigned to stay in during the 1975 referendum, and, in office, signed the Single European Act, possibly the worst piece of legislation relating to the UK and the EU. Now we watch as an ineffectual Prime Minister, herself a Remainer, allows pro EU ministers such as Hammond and Rudd, to make the running, while the true Brexiteers are subject to constant attacks by the fellow travellers in parliament and in the media. In the Labour party the leader, himself a lifelong opponent of the EU, has allowed himself to be persuaded by the Blairites that the party should support effectively remaining in the organisation, which is nothing less than a betrayal of all those Labour voters in the North who made clear their desire to leave in June 2016.

**The Liberal Democrats**, the Greens and the SNP are all lost causes, determined to bow the knee to Brussels, whatever the cost to the British people, while the so called opinion formers, in the BBC and elsewhere, are deeply committed to their belief in the need to Remain, despite all the obvious and growing failures of the EU. These people are a fifth column for Brussels. Those who believe that a reformed EU will ever be on offer are deceiving themselves. Since its inception the organisation has not deviated from its ambition of establishing a single European state, run by unelected bureaucrats, and it is certainly not going to do so now. The issue is not about the economy, but is one of democracy, and no changes to the structure of the EU will ever remove its deliberately designed democratic deficit. Particular opprobrium should be directed at the SNP, who so worship the EU that they are prepared to join the Brussels bureaucrats in applauding the undemocratic methods the Spanish government is using to stifle independence in Catalonia, but who rightly would erupt if similar methods were used by London against Holyrood.

**So where do we**, in UKIP, and in the wider anti EU movement go from here? I think it is time to take the gloves off, both at home and abroad.

**Within the UK** for many years we have been described as xenophobes and racists by the Europhiles and now they have added morons or gullible fools to the list, while too many of us have treated Remainers as misguided, but nevertheless decent people basically concerned with the future of the country. From now on we must describe them, and treat them, as either fools or knaves. The former are idiots, who refuse to recognize the truth about the EU,

**Continued on page 7.....**

**For page 7 scroll down**

**What now continued:**

and swallow whole the propaganda pumped out by the BBC, and much of the media. They include all those business people, who completely fail to understand that the issue is not one of their profits, but of the survival of our democracy. The knaves, who know what is involved, yet still support it, are far worse. Over the years since Watergate we have often seen political scandals labelled with the suffix 'gate' and, for those who wish to hand control of this country to an alien bureaucracy based in Brussels, there is another gate, leading from the Thames to The Tower of London, whose name sums up just what these people are.

As far as the continentals are concerned, while we seek friendship with the peoples, those who control the EU, in particular the European Commission, are not what our politicians call them, friends or partners, but opponents and indeed adversaries. They have treated the people of this county as fools, and seek to humble the nation to which most of them owe the freedom they have enjoyed since the defeat of the totalitarians. Our useless political class may desire to crawl to them but, by doing so, they bring shame on us all, and should be rejected as unworthy to represent the British people. We must not follow the president of Catalonia who has decided to join the prime minister of Greece in emulating the Grand Old Duke of York.

All Eurorealists should take every opportunity to write to the press, call talk shows and write to their MPs demanding that the will of the people be respected, while attacking the Remainers for

.....  
**there is another gate, leading from the Thames to The Tower of London, whose name sums up just what these people are.**  
 .....

just what they are. They should also boycott all those businesses and publications which are run by those who support the EU, and make known that they are taking such action. While it may not always be possible we should factor in the Brexit dimension so that, for instance, we favour Dyson products, drink in Wetherspoons, buy goods from outside the EU, rather than from within, and consider taking foreign holidays in Norway, Switzerland, Iceland, the USA, etc, in preference to, in particular, Germany. The economic

impact of the seventeen million leave voters doing these things would make the EU producers, and their allies in the UK, squeal, and perhaps be not so gung-ho for bowing to Brussels. Hard hitting leaflets, with simple messages, such as pointing out that the people are being taken for fools, should be delivered throughout the country. We do not need to present detailed arguments, as we won the argument, but we do need to make clear that the Remainers must be crushed. It is necessary to organise public meetings and marches, and to take our adverts in local papers, all driving home the point that this is a crisis for democracy, and that our politicians should get up off their knees.

If we fail then democracy in this country no longer has any meaning. We shall be trapped in the EU until its internal contradictions destroy it, but that could take years, and our people will suffer under a foul dictatorship until then. The message must be "Go now!" and the enemies of democracy, both in parliament and outside, must be rejected by all decent people.

**JOIN UKIP**  
 The UK's fastest growing and most successful political party.  
**TEL: 01626 830630**  
 Write to:  
**PO Box 408**  
**Newton Abbot**  
**TQ12 9BG.**  
[www.ukip.org](http://www.ukip.org)




**JOIN THE BRUGES GROUP**  
**214 Linen Hall, 162-168 Regent Street, London, W1B 5TB.**  
**Tel: 020 7287 4414**  
**E-mail: [info@brugesgroup.com](mailto:info@brugesgroup.com)**

## BOLTON CALLS FOR DUNCAN'S RESIGNATION

Alan Duncan, the Europe Minister has described the referendum vote as a "tantrum" by "blue collar workers" while talking in the Chicago.

**Henry Bolton**, the new UKIP leader, has called for him to resign or be sacked instantly. "How can a man who is meant to represent the UK in Europe feel that way about the majority of the people in the UK? The old joke about the Foreign Office must be true, it represents foreigners in Britain, rather than the other way round, it is clear that the Minister represents the interests of Europe rather than the interests of the UK.

"**The contempt** that he shows towards the 17.4 million is a disgrace, but is symptomatic of a political elite who despise the ordinary people of our country and their hopes and aspirations.

"**The Prime Minister** cannot just sit back and allow this. Her authority and credibility are already in question and the words of her Minister will undermine even further if she fails to act. How can those millions believe her leadership in negotiations, and how can our EU colleagues not laugh at her if she fails to do so?"



Henry Bolton: critical of Alan Duncan.

### BWMA

Join the British Weights & Measures Association

Membership is £12 pa, please make payments to 'BWMA', post to: 29 Chart House, Ash Vale, Surrey, GU12 5LS.  
Web-site: [www.bwmaOnline.com](http://www.bwmaOnline.com)

### JOIN



Membership is £20 a year or £10 for OAPs  
Write to:

**78 Carlton Road, Worksop  
Nottinghamshire S80 1PH.**

**Contact**

[admin@campaignforanindependentbritain.org](mailto:admin@campaignforanindependentbritain.org)  
**Web-site: [www.eurosceptic.org.uk](http://www.eurosceptic.org.uk)**

**Tel: 0845 5197254**

## THE JUNE PRESS BOOKS

**Tel: 08456 120175**

**E-mail: [info@Octoberpress.com](mailto:info@Octoberpress.com)**

**Seizing the moment.** The opportunities for UK fisheries after Brexit by John Ashworth £4.00. Brexit a golden opportunity. Why the UK must not allow the CFP to be replicated into British law as proposed with the Great "Repeal" Bil, for political convenience or short term vested interests. UK withdrawal can automatically restore control over the UK(EEZ) out to 200nm/midline and all resources therein.

**The Road to Freedom** by Gerard Batten MEP, £8.99. In this updated book, following the 2016 Brexit Referendum result, Batten with well argued points explains how Article 50, the Article for leaving the EU is a trap and that joining the EFTA or the EEA will not give the UK true independence. He shows why the only true way to leave the EU is for parliament to repeal the European Economic Communities act 1972.

**What it Will Look Like How leaving the EU and the Single Market can be made to work for Britain** by Robert Oulds, Gary Robinson and Dr Lee Rotherham, £5.00. The ten main concerns of leaving the EU are addressed in this publication with a bold vision on how exiting the EU, and even the single market and the customs union, can be made to work for everyone.

**SEND PAYMENTS TO  
THE JUNE PRESS LTD**

**PO Box 119, Totnes, Devon, TQ9 7WA.**

**Please add 10% P & P**

## TO CONTACT THE EURO REALIST BULLETIN

Send your e-mails to: [eurorealistnl@aol.com](mailto:eurorealistnl@aol.com)  
The Euro Realist is published by WAEC, write to: "WAEC, 53 Daisy Bank Crescent, Walsall, WS5 3BH. Tel: 01922 631970, or, 07813 153897

The Euro Realist Bulletin is sent out by e-mail only and is free to those who wish to receive it