

Snakes in the Grass and Wolves in Sheep's Clothing. Threats to Independence in the Post-Brexit Era

Cl B chairman Edward Spalton notes that the EU is not

could possibly agree in advance to the sacrifice that any adequate plan must involve. The British people must be led slowly and unconsciously in to

British government to negotiate a quota with the European authorities for their butter and lamb sales in Britain. So it was a piece of misinformation which the very intelligent Mr Gummer could assert without the least fear of contradiction.

Ironically it was his speech which convinced me that there must be more evil for us in the European project, if it had to be supported from the beginning with such an untruth.

The deal was that we would ditch our Commonwealth suppliers and our access to the world market for food. We would pay much higher European prices. In return we would get access to the EEC market for our industrial goods, motor cars and so on. Mr Selwyn Gummer was convinced that our sales of British cars would boom as soon as we no longer had to pay customs duty because we were inside the Common Market .

It didn't work out like that, did it? The European project never was a mere Common Market but always a political union. Nearly thirty years later another enthusiastic Europhile admitted that it had been wrong to deceive people about its nature:

Not only was it wrong for us to deal superficially with what Europe involved but we've paid the price for it ever since, because every time there's a crisis in Europe, people say, with some justification, Well we would not have been part of this if we'd really known the implications.

Lord Hattersley in BBC Radio 4 Programme A Letter to The Times , 3 February 2000.

So, in dealing with international organisations in future, we need to look behind the labels to see what their real objectives are. And we need politicians who are far more sceptical and dedicated to our country's interest than the Thorneycrofts, Gumpers, Jenkinses and Hattersleys of yesteryear. The EU is not the only organisation which has a governing institution (in the form of the European Commission) which is legally beyond democratic control. Other international organisations also have powerful bureaucracies whose officials are similarly above public scrutiny, and who have ambitions of their own far beyond their apparent function.

Ambitions of world government

The **World Health Organisation (WHO)**, founded in 1948, is in the news today for its role in attempting to manage the COVID pandemic at a transnational level. But had wider ambitions from the start. Its first Director General, Dr Brock Chisholm certainly aspired to be more than family doctor to the world. Above all he wanted power, writing:

To achieve world government it is necessary to remove from the minds of men their individualism, loyalty to family, tradition, national patriotism and religious dogmas. The reinterpretation and eventually eradication of the concept of right and wrong which has been the basis of child training, the substitution of intelligent and rational thinking for faith in the certainties of old people, these are the objectives

Quite a number of these objectives are well on their way to achievement.

No doubt Chi shol m recruited like-minded colleagues who appointed successors of similar ambitions. Today the WHO is funded to a very large extent by pharmaceutical companies who produce vaccines, foundations whose wealth comes from similar sources and aspirants to global influence like Bill Gates.

Now, compare the Borg's philosophy with the following from **Dr Robert Muller**, who served as a leading United Nations bureaucrat for some four decades from its foundation in the 1940s, eventually rising to Assistant Secretary-General. In his *Framework for Planetary and Cosmic Consciousness* (1995), Dr Muller wrote:

The United Nations is the biological metaorganism of the human species. We have now the birth of a global nervous system. We are beginning to have a global heart, be it only our love for nature to preserve this earth this planet of ours and we will also se(

bi 2

Have I not said clearly enough that the Community we created is not an end in itself? It is a process of change, continuing in that same process which in an earlier period produced our national forms of life. The sovereign nations of the past can no longer solve the problems of the present: they cannot ensure their own progress or control their own future. And the Community itself is only a stage on the way of the organised world of tomorrow.

The foes of democracy

So, in opposing the European Union we were only tackling a corner of a much larger campaign against the nations of the world. It is totalitarian, universal and intended to be eternal – a sort of bastard religion or global fascism. It inspires the fanatics of Extinction Rebellion and others. It will tolerate no rival and non-conforming scientists are ruthlessly cancelled.

So I was not surprised to read in March that Lord Deben, who is now Chairman of the independent Climate Change Committee, has demanded authoritarian rule to deliver Net Zero. Deben may no longer be plain old John Selwyn Gummer, but he hasn't changed much since 1972 in his fondness for imposing anti-democratic, unaccountable forms of government on the