



The Euro Realist Bulletin

An occasional bulletin

campaigning for an EU free Britain &

Parliamentary sovereignty

Edition 49

MAY 2018

THE ELECTORATE BETRAYED BY THE UNELECTED

The House of Lords in the days when it was full of hereditary and life Peers was one of those things that should not have worked, yet did. That was until Tony Blair came along and in true, 'if it aint broke don't fix it style, fixed it and broke it. Now the House of Lords is stuffed to the gunnels with failed politicians and cronies of the political leaders as well as a sprinkling of theatricals, just because they are famous.

Sadly, these self important Lordships have abandoned the principle of not being there to promote their political opinions, to be fair and act as an advising chamber for the House of Commons. Now, the Peers have political and pro-EU axes to grind, despite protocol.

DO YOU WANT TO STAY WITH THE EURO REALIST?

Dear Euro Realist reader,

You recently received an e-mail from me asking if you wanted to continue receiving the Euro Realist, so far just under half of you have replied to stay with it, many thanks for the kind messages of support.

If you have replied then you do not need to respond, however, if you have not yet said you want to continue receiving the Euro Realist please send an e-mail to: euroraelaistnl@aol.com requesting to continue.

For those who do not reply this will be your final edition, thank you for your past support. Derek Bennett, Editor.

Sadly, on the 18th April protocol went out of the Lords window and their pro-EU lordships, with scant regard for the wishes of the electorate, arrogantly voted to keep the UK in the EU by means of voting for an amendment to the European Union Withdrawal Bill to keep the UK in the EU customs union.

By doing this the 348 guilty Lords knew this would create problems for the Government and encourage pro-EU MPs to rebel against the electorate. 225 Peers voted against the amendment.

If the Government is forced into remaining in the customs union then it will be unable to make trade deals globally and the UK will still be bound to the diktats of the unelected EU, in effect Britain will still be stuck in the EU.

The peers are already facing a backlash from angry Leave voters, a petition to scrap the Lords ([link](#)) has rocketed up and the Lords may have created their own downfall.

The Freedom Association

IF YOU BELIEVE IN FREEDOM JOIN THE FREEDOM ASSOCIATION

Membership (cost £30 per annum)

Contact: The Freedom Association,
Richwood House, 1 Trinity School Lane,
Cheltenham, Gloucestershire, GL52 2JL

Tel: 01242 235333

WEB-SITE: www.tfa.net

E-MAIL: mail@tfa.net

For page 2 scroll down

EU COLLAPSE INEVITABLE

BY DEREK BENNETT

"Its inevitable" was a mantra repeated over and over again by the pro-EU Quislings during the run up to the launch of the EU's euro. These misguided souls declared as often as they could that it was inevitable the UK would have to scrap sterling, its sovereign currency, to be replaced by the euro along with those nations foolish enough to surrender their fiscal freedom.

This mantra was repeated as often as possible by the Europhiles as part of their strategy to make people believe there was no option but to join the euro. However, there was a flaw in their devious plans. Prime Minister Blair and the other party leaders had all promised a referendum on joining the euro, the 'inevitable' mantra was used as a ploy to wear us down so we would go to vote in the promised referendum for the euro as we would think it inevitable and there was no other option.

This flaw in their argument was made obvious to me one day in my regular watering hole the Lyndon House Hotel in Walsall. One of the regulars in there, knowing my stance on the EU said to me, "You know its inevitable we will join the euro". My reply to him was to ask him if he thought so did that mean he was going to vote to scrap the pound in the promised referendum? He immediately replied "No". "There you go then", I replied, "its not inevitable".

The arguments the EU fanatics used at the time in favour of surrendering sterling was that the pound would be squeezed between a mighty dollar and a powerful euro, it would become worthless. These twits really believed this nonsense, just as they were foolish enough to believe their own scare stories in the run up to the 2016 EU referendum. They were proven wrong about the euro and they have now been proven wrong about their tales of horror if we voted 'Leave'. In fact, if you look through our overlong and sad involvement trapped in the European Union these EU fanatics have consistently been proven wrong about everything. A lot of the time they are wrong because they consistently lie about the EU and what it is. If they told the truth the 2016 EU referendum result would have been far higher for 'Leave'.

When looking at the situation across the whole of the EU today, the inevitable argument now has to be used in the fact it is inevitable the EU will collapse, the big question is when? The pressure for EU disintegration is building at a pace. Not only have the people in the UK come to their senses and voted to



Derek Bennett & beer in the Lyndon House.

leave, other nations have growing political parties and movements against the EU, and they are becoming more powerful. As pointed out in the Daily Mail by Robert Hardman on the 7th April 2018 ([link](#)) many of the EU-sceptical groups in the old Eastern blocs don't want to leave the EU, as we do here in the UK, they are, after all, receiving vast sums of money from the EU. What they want to do is make it less authoritarian but that is not in the EU's nature.

The pressures are gradually building, the EU wants to continue taking more powers away from the nation states in its eventual quest to become a nation in its own right, while the people of Europe don't want to surrender their nationality and become citizens of a nation called the United States of Europe. The political parties now gaining influence across all of the EU nations are standing up for their rights to remain self governing countries, these parties are sneeringly branded 'populist' by a completely detached and out of touch EU elite. This refusal to acknowledge the concerns and fears of people, who are increasingly feeling powerless and oppressed by the EU, is helping to build up pressure, and there is no safety valve. We in the UK are lucky we are escaping at the right time.

Writing in the Daily Express Professor Gwythian Prins of the London School of Economics has warned the EU will collapse like the Soviet Union ([link](#)). He believes the EU has sown the seeds of its own destruction through ever-greater complexity and meddling as the benefits for its citizens shrivel.

In Germany and France, two of the most pro-EU nations, there are growing pressures against EU domination. 'Alternative for Germany' has grown in influence as well as France's 'Front National'. Added to this in Italy, which is suffering financially and facing bankruptcy due to joining the euro, both the Five Star Movement and the Lega Nord have gained influence in its recent elections with the Five Star Movement winning the most votes. All this is adding pressure on the EU which continues to ignore calls for it to change. As always, the EU's attitude to its problems is to respond with the mantra that the problems it is facing are due to not enough EU and for it to take more power and control. As Professor Prins states, the EU elites are "cult-like" and impossible to reason with. Due to this pig headedness it is inevitable the EU will collapse, its welcome demise may not be that far away.

For page 3 scroll down

HYSTERIA & LIES

BY COLIN BULLEN

While those of us who have fought the Europhiles over decades always knew just what they were like it must have come as a surprise to many Leave supporters, who no doubt thought that living in a democratic country meant that the result of a binding referendum would be honoured. To their claims that Leavers were unintelligent or ignorant the Remainers have recently added that they were either persuaded by the misuse of data by social media giants, or that the Leave campaign had spent money illegally, and therefore that the vote had been corrupted.

In answer to the first of these nonsensical charges, given that the supporters of the EU constantly claim, without any evidence, that the reason Brexit was approved was that the older generation voted for it, how do they explain that it is that generation which is by far the least likely to pay attention to the rubbish on Facebook or Twitter, or indeed to use such as the source of news or opinion. Even those Leavers of my acquaintance who do use social media say that their opinions are not based on any postings they may read, but on their experience and knowledge of the issues involved.

As far as the second charge is concerned this would be risible, if it were not so serious. We know how the Remainers were given a free propaganda gift of £9 million pounds when Cameron's government sent their pro EU leaflet to every home, while the resources made available to the Remain side from self interested businessmen dwarfed anything given to the Leave campaign.

When considering this issue we are told that the Electoral Commission rejects any case against the Europhiles, yet constantly reopens those concerning the Leave side. However we now know, as if it were any surprise, that this body is not unbiased, but is a creature of the liberal establishment. Sir John Holmes, the chairman since January 2017, said that he "regretted the result of the referendum" and complained about the "panoply of Eurosceptic nonsense about the EU" heard during the campaign, Professor David Howarth, a commissioner since October 2014, has publicly asked whether it was "morally acceptable" to "press on with invoking article 50", Lord Horam, again a commissioner since October



Colin Bullen: EU remainers denying the referendum result.

2014, and once a member of the SDP, said "it will make sense not to leave the EU" while Bridget Prentice a commissioner since May 2014, was justice minister under Tony Blair. Anyone who believes that a body of ten, with it being clear that at least four members strongly support Remain, is impartial, obviously also believes in the Easter Bunny and Father Christmas.

Just recently we have a statement from what are described as spokesmen for British business, saying that they expect Brexit to be counterproductive, and, not for the first time, accusing British workers of being lazy. What these people really mean is that they don't want to pay for British workers to be trained, and that they want to employ foreign workers who are prepared to accept lower wages, because they do not have the same overheads as the indigenous population, and are anyway desperate to work in the UK. These selfish, arrogant employers are in a direct line with those who always blamed British workers for the failures of industry in the past, when most of the time it was incompetent and idiotic managements that prevented their firms from competing with foreign enterprises. Our democracy is more important than the unearned bonuses enjoyed by these fat cats, who choose to insult their compatriots, rather than embrace the post Brexit future.

The Remain side are going into overdrive, the nearer the date of leaving the EU approaches. One of their latest fabrications is to say that food prices will rise, a complete falsehood. Those of us opposed to EU membership have been pointing out for decades that we are paying far more than we need to for food, thanks to the punitive taxes the EU imposes on such products from outside, thus keeping prices at unnecessarily high levels for consumers, while sending the money raised by these taxes direct to Brussels. It is also worth noting that the tariffs the EU imposes on African agricultural products make it impossible for that continent to trade itself out of poverty, despite all the rhetoric we hear about the EU being in favour of helping the third world. Indeed, while for us in the UK the Common Agricultural Policy means higher food prices, the

Continued on page 4.....

For page 4 scroll down

Hysteria & Lies continued:

massive subsidies EU producers enjoy enable them to undercut African farmers and destroy the economies of the poorest nations in the world. The EU dumps sugar, for which their producers are guaranteed a price three times the world price, thus destroying thousands of jobs in countries such as Mozambique, while thousands of tonnes of surplus powdered milk from the EU are offloaded onto countries such as Mali at a cheaper price than local farmers can match, devastating their economy and driving them out of business. The same type of policies are reducing many African countries to poverty.

If the EU did not exist we would pay less for our food and third world countries would have a chance to develop working economies. Those whom like to regard themselves as liberal, and friends of the world's poor, might care to reflect that their support for the EU achieves the opposite of that they claim to support.

That Remainers have a pathological desire to ignore all the faults of the EU is shown by the way in which they act as Ostriches, burying their heads in the sand, when confronted by inter alia, the failures of the Eurozone, the crisis in Catalonia, the rise of extremist parties throughout the EU, the failure to deal with migration, the crisis on youth employment in Southern Europe. They consistently fail to acknowledge that Brexit is not about trade and money but concerns the survival of our democracy and the right of the people to rule themselves. Even as I write we learn that the Brexit committee of MPs has voted, by ten to six, to recommend that the UK adopts the EEA as its preferred solution which, if accepted, would leave us subject to the whims of Brussels, and would be Brexit in name only. This is no more

Unbelievably, Lord Adonis has taken to calling the BBC the Brexit Broadcasting Corporation,

than one would expect from those desperate to safeguard their career prospects beyond Westminster.

Unbelievably, Lord Adonis has taken to calling the BBC the Brexit Broadcasting Corporation, as he asserts that it is showing a bias in favour of Brexit. Anyone who watches the output from the BBC knows that it slavishly follows the line laid down by the Remainers, as one only has to consider Question Time, Any Questions, HIGNFY, etc. to recognize that the bias is totally the other way. This man is talking rubbish.

We are in a situation where the liberal elite, like the patricians of Ancient Rome deriding the plebeians, self righteously arrogate for themselves the moral high ground, treating the ordinary people as little more than serfs. I have never really appreciated why the passions and hatreds of civil wars often exceed those of wars between states, but I certainly feel a detestation for the selfish politicians whose constantly refer to their opponents as stupid or worse, while themselves demonstrate that they have absolutely no real understanding of the realities of the EU. They wish to hand the governance of this country to an organisation run by unelected bureaucrats, and dominated by a nation that in the twentieth century showed an unequalled ability, in the Third Reich and the GDR, to create police states and oppress whole populations. Germany may now be a democracy, but they have a long way to go before proving themselves, and we are justified in pointing out to them that, as Clement Attlee said to Harold Laski, Chairman of the Labour Party in 1946, "*a period of silence on your part would be welcome*". The same stricture should apply to the bad losers of the EU referendum.



Restoring our Ancient Freedoms, Democracy and Prosperity Regardless of race, creed, colour or social standing.

www.newchartistmovement.org.uk

info@britishconstitutiongroup.com

Tel: 01752 478054



JOIN

**Membership is £20 a year or £10 for OAPs
CIB, 78 Carlton Road, Worksop
Nottinghamshire S80 1PH.**

admin@campaignforanindependentbritain.org

Web-site: www.eurosceptic.org.uk

REBOOTING, NOT ABOLISHING THE NATION STATE - PART 2

BY JOHN PETLEY

Last month, I discussed the possibilities for our country once we leave the European Union. Rejecting the craze to break up existing nations, I pointed out that whatever the reason for the widespread disillusion with the current political system, the answer is not to be found in reducing the geographic extent of the state but its size and power. The UK in particular historically functioned very well with very limited government and there is no reason why we cannot do so again once we throw off the dead weight of the bureaucrats of Brussels.

The biggest obstacles in the way are vested interest and ignorance. My starting point this month, therefore, is to ask us all:- If you want to see political change in our country, are you part of the solution or part of the problem?

To help us answer the question, it is vital to define the nature of the problem – or more precisely, problems. Our country is in a mess not because of one single mistaken ideology but due to a number of interlocked issues.

The first of these is a belief that we can create a perfect society or something pretty close to it. World history conclusively debunks this illusion. A perfect society on this earth is impossible for the fundamental reason that it is made up of very imperfect human beings. You need not accept the Bible as a revelation from God to see that its teaching on the sinfulness of man is a self-evident truth.

Look back to the 20th century. In the West, we had (and still have) so much that in theory ought to make us happy, contented beings: education, better healthcare, prosperity beyond the wildest dreams of earlier generations, leisure time and so on. Has it led to peace within and between nations? Far from it. The history of the past hundred years is a sad litany of war and destruction. Technological advance has not even increased our happiness. A survey conducted a year ago by the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development found that teenage girls in the UK were the fourth most unhappy out of the 45 countries surveyed, many of which were much poorer than us.

So from the outset, it is essential to face reality and abandon the idea that any one political system can produce Utopia. I am fully aware that there are legitimate criticisms which can be made of small-state, laissez-faire ideology, but the bottom line is that it has far fewer faults than alternative ideologies which labour under the misconception that perfection is possible. It isn't.

Secondly, our political leaders are not exempt from the imperfection (or sinfulness) of the human race. This is why, no matter how clever a

political system someone might devise, it will disappoint. In his poem, "Choruses from the Rock" (1934), T. S. Eliot talked of "Men dreaming of systems so perfect that no one will need to be good." Those dreams will never come to pass because the systems need human beings to direct them and those human beings can all so easily go astray. Most of us are aware of Lord Acton's observation in a letter to an Anglican bishop that "power corrupts and absolute power corrupts absolutely." Most of us are not familiar with the words that followed:- "Great men are almost always bad men."

As I pointed out in the last issue, the growth of the size of the state is one of the consequences of this desire to create a perfect society. A hundred years ago, the big state enjoyed widespread support, which continued for much of the last century.

Indeed, so widespread was this support that when in the 1970s, when Mrs Thatcher and Ronald Reagan actually proposed shrinking the state, such ideas were viewed as extremely radical.

So why is the big state such a bad idea? Principally because big states require large numbers of politicians and large numbers of people to work for them. This means that the people at the top acquire considerable power and status. This is a recipe not only for attracting those with a lust for power but for corrupting those who go into politics with the best of intentions, who can all too easily get carried away with the prestige which comes with the job.

In 1985, the Quaker Richard Foster wrote a best-selling book entitled *Money, Sex and Power*. The very title hit the nail on the head; here in a nutshell is why Lord Acton's comments so often ring true. Even those who enter politics with a genuine desire to make the world a better place find that their good intentions so often are shipwrecked on one or more of these three rocks. Sex and greed have spoilt many a career. Think of John Profumo or Cecil Parkinson. You don't have to go so far back for evidence of the lure of money:- the MP expenses scandal blew up less than ten years ago.

However, it is the combination of a lust for power and the use of the power of the big state to change society which is currently the most toxic threat to Western society. The blame for creating this deadly combination lies fairly and squarely with the disciples of Karl Marx. The blame for perpetuating it, however, has to be shared more widely.

For Marx, the big state was only ever a temporary measure. He saw it as an essential tool in rebuilding society, wresting power from the *bourgeoisie*

Continued on page 6.....

For page 6 scroll down

Rebooting , not abolishing continued:

and giving it to the proletariat, abolishing private property and inheritance, controlling the means of production and creating such a marvellous society that the state could eventually wither away as the society of equals would not need the restraint of civil government at all. His disciples were very successful in increasing the size and power of the state. They were, to varying degrees, reasonably successful in taking at least some of the money and power away from the rich and imposing a planned economy, controlling the means of production through nationalisation. They were totally unsuccessful in trying to create the society of which Marx had dreamed where all would be sweetness and light to the extent that government would wither away.

Apart from the short-lived Paris *Commune* of 1870-1, the first Marxist revolution took place in 1917 in Russia, led by Lenin. It required a lot of courage by Mikhail Gorbachev a full 70 years later finally to admit that the planned economy was going nowhere and that Marxism/Leninism had not worked. In China too, after the horrors of Mao's Cultural Revolution, his successor Deng Xiao Ping recognised that the planned economy was a failure and turned to a more market-orientated solution to lift the Chinese people out of poverty. In the UK, we were spared revolution and the Attlee government of 1945-51 was hardly a hotbed of rabid Marxists. Even so, it was dominated by men who believed that they were building a "new Jerusalem" through the welfare state, redistribution of wealth and nationalisation. In reality, they were building a disaster which the Thatcher years only partially dismantled.

In summary, every serious conceivable variant of big state government was tested to destruction in the 20th century and on every occasion, found seriously wanting. Yet like those long-redundant airship hangars at Cardington, Bedfordshire, the big state remains largely intact albeit serving, by any objective standards, no useful purpose. Why is that?

There are three main reasons. Firstly, the Thatcher/Reagan years may have seen the end of the Cold War but did not see as decisive a victory in weaning the population away from state-ism. Once the state takes over a function previously undertaken by local initiative or private bodies, it tends to create an unhealthy culture of dependency. Consequently, many people are frightened even to contemplate the rolling back of the state in certain areas. If, in polite conversation, you suggest replacing the NHS with a far more efficient and fairer insurance-based healthcare system you will soon find out what I mean!

Secondly, the big state keeps politicians in work. We have all too many career politicians who are full of their own importance in spite of never having done a day's work in any job outside politics. Redundancy is not a welcome prospect. It is unsurprising that supporters of Brexit have always been in a minority in Westminster. After all, the EU is the ultimate big, bloated state offering plenty of "jobs for the boys", thus providing over the years a useful safety net for MPs who lost their seats in a general Election. Such dud politicians either become MEPs, or in the case of some spectacular failures like Peter Mandelson or Neil Kinnock, Commissioners.

Thirdly and most seriously, the big state is an essential tool to those who, like the original disciples of Marx, wish to re-shape society - albeit for cultural rather than economic ends. The Frankfurt School, (the inventors of cultural Marxism) was formed by a group of Marxist intellectuals who sought to ascertain why the 1917 October revolution failed to spread from Moscow right round the world. They reached the conclusion that Western

civilisation and the innate stability it produced in society acted as a barrier to revolution. Its values therefore needed to be dismantled piece by piece to bring in a "better" society and only the state had the coercive power to do this.

Without the power of the state, cultural Marxism would never have got off the ground. The degree to which the most absurd ideas about how society should function are now commonplace among our contemporaries - particularly the young - has only been possible because the state has made full use of its resources to pump out propaganda into our schools and universities.

If our forebears were to take a time machine and travel to the UK in 2018, they would conclude, in the words of the 1982 hit by *Fun Boy Three* that "*the lunatics have taken over the asylum.*" How could we have reached the point where those who defend traditional marriage are dismissed as bigots? Where anyone who says it is nothing less than child abuse for five-year old children to be allowed to choose their gender is vilified? The answer is simple:- the big state. To repeat the Reagan quote I mentioned last month, "Man is not free unless government is limited...as government expands, liberty contracts." A big state will inevitably become coercive sooner or later. We may not have our gulags or concentration camps here in the UK but already, so much of the apparatus of government has been taken over by those

Continued on page 7.....

For page 7 scroll down

.....
In summary, every serious conceivable variant of big state government was tested to destruction in the 20th century and on every occasion, found seriously wanting

Rebooting , not abolishing continued:

who consciously or unconsciously bow the knee to the tenets of cultural Marxism. These people know their enemies and are seeking progressively to squeeze them. This process is taking place even though these enemies, particularly Christians, and other cultural conservatives, speak for a sizeable body of opinion across the country.

I believe that the outworkings of cultural Marxism are so utterly and completely opposed to nature and common sense that it is only a matter of time before it collapses as completely and utterly as the Soviet Union. However, for this to happen, the big state which gives it such power needs to be shrunk drastically. Not until we appreciate this can we turn the tide. Indeed, if we don't lay hold of and endorse this fundamental point, we are part of the problem rather than part of the answer.

TO BODILY GO WHERE NO ONE WANTS TO GO

On Saturday 14th April two of the losing Remain groups desperately trying to keep the UK in the EU, despite 17.4 million voters winning the referendum vote to leave, decided to squander £1 million in an effort to undermine the democratic will of the people.

Ironically calling themselves 'The Peoples Vote', which they aim to betray the peoples vote, these anti-democrats will be calling on Parliament to force, in true EU style, another referendum in the vague hope the people will change their vote in a desperate attempt to keep the UK in the European Union.

Heading up their campaign was the Star Trek luvie actor, Patric Stewart, along with arch remoaners: Anna Soubry, Chuka Umanna and Caroline Lucas. They aim to boldly go where no one wants to go.



JOIN THE BRUGES GROUP
214 Linen Hall, 162-168 Regent
Street, London, W1B 5TB.

Tel: 020 7287 4414

E-mail: info@brugesgroup.com

THE JUNE PRESS BOOKS

Tel: 08456 120175

E-mail: info@Octoberpress.com

Seizing the moment. The opportunities for UK fisheries after Brexit by John Ashworth £4.00. Brexit a golden opportunity. Why the UK must not allow the CFP to be replicated into British law as proposed with the Great "Repeal" Bil, for political convenience or short term vested interests. UK withdrawal can automatically restore control over the UK (EEZ) out to 200nm/ midline and all resources therein.

The Road to Freedom by Gerard Batten MEP, £8.99. In this updated book, following the 2016 Brexit Referendum result, Batten with well argued points explains how Article 50, the Article for leaving the EU is a trap and that joining the EFTA or the EEA will not give the UK true independence. He shows why the only true way to leave the EU is for parliament to repeal the European Economic Communities act 1972.

The UK's liabilities to the financial mechanisms of the European Union by Bob Lyddon, £8.00. An exposure of the liabilities for the UK while being a member of the EU. As guarantors of the ECB, EIB etc extra cash above our annual Membership fee can be demanded by the EU under the QMV with no unilateral right of refusal!

**SEND PAYMENTS TO
THE JUNE PRESS LTD**

PO Box 119, Totnes, Devon, TQ9 7WA.

Please add 10% P & P

TO CONTACT THE EURO REALIST BULLETIN

Send your e-mails to: euorealistnl@aol.com
The Euro Realist is published by WAEC,
write to: "WAEC, 53 Daisy Bank Crescent,
Walsall, WS5 3BH. Tel: 01922 631970, or,
07813 153897

The Euro Realist Bulletin is sent out by e-mail only and is free to those who wish to receive it