



The Euro Realist Bulletin

An occasional bulletin

campaigning for an EU free Britain &

Parliamentary sovereignty

Edition 50

JUNE 2018

SABOTAGING INDEPENDENCE

The Exchange Rate Mechanism (ERM) was one of the EU's main projects to prepare the way for a single currency across all the EU member nations. George Soros was the man who wrecked it by speculating on the currency markets and ensured he reaped the reward of vast wealth from his exploits.

It now seems both ironical and hypercritical that Soros is now using his ERM gained wealth to fund a project to destroy UK democracy and independence from the EU. He has funded the oxymoron named 'Best For Britain' pro-EU group which, if successful, will do its worst for the UK.

Treacherous remainers are being funded and trained by this anti-UK group to target MPs in a series of roadshows aimed at stopping the UK's

independence from the EU. Their aim is to use scare tactics to convince MPs to vote against the UK escaping from the EU's anti-democratic clutches.

They aim to target MP's who have slim majorities with letter writing campaigns and scare them into thinking they will lose their seats if they support Brexit.

On the 20th June 2018, writing in the letters page of the Sunday Telegraph, Jeremy Hosking who in

the run up to the 2017 general election, offered to fund any Tory candidate who was to stand against a pro-EU MP with a slim majority, his offer was refused. In his letter he accused No 10 of blocking his 'Brexit Express' £700,000 donation. He accuses Theresa May's Government of deliberately sabotaging Brexit.

THANK YOU

Derek Bennet the editor of the Euro Realist, sends his thanks to all those who responded to the recent e-mail asking if they wished to continue receiving the bulletin. Sadly, over half of those contacted did not reply and to comply with the new data-protection legislation they have had to be removed from the mailing list. You are free to forward on to others.



JOIN THE BRUGES GROUP

214 Linen Hall, 162-168 Regent Street,
London, W1B 5TB.

Tel: 020 7287 4414

E-mail: info@brugesgroup.com

The Freedom Association

IF YOU BELIEVE IN FREEDOM JOIN THE
FREEDOM ASSOCIATION

Membership (cost £30 per annum)

Contact: The Freedom Association,
Richwood House, 1 Trinity School Lane,
Cheltenham, Gloucestershire, GL52 2JL

Tel: 01242 235333

WEB-SITE: www.tfa.net

E-MAIL: mail@tfa.net

REBOOTING, NOT ABOLISHING THE NATION STATE PART 3

BY JOHN PETLEY

We are currently facing a critical time in our history. Just as in the 17th century and the early 19th century, our system of government is outmoded and not fit for purpose. It needs to be brought up to date. It took the incompetence of the Stuart monarchs to precipitate the crises which eventually replaced the absolutism of the “Divine right of kings” with Parliamentary democracy, while the turbulence fermented by the Industrial Revolution in the unsettling conditions during the years following the Napoleonic wars led to the Great Reform Act of 1832, which broadened and updated the franchise, abolishing the “rotten boroughs” and patronage (where a powerful landowner more or less controlled a seat).

The Brexit vote and its aftermath is both creating a turbulent environment and exposing the limitations of our current system of government. Both the blundering approach of Theresa May and her team to the Brexit negotiations and the obstructive behaviour of the House of Lords provide ample evidence that things need to change.

In earlier articles, I have sung the praises of the Swiss political system whereby elected representatives can be held to account through binding referendums. I do not wish to repeat myself in this piece, but the incorporation of such a change is vital if our democratic process is to be made fit for the 21st century. The argument that the people are not up to making complex decisions carries no weight given the mess that our elected representatives are making of running the country!

The concept of recall, whereby an MP who is not up to the job can be forced to seek a new mandate from voters is also essential. I am sure that Amber Rudd’s constituents in Hastings and Rye would love to have another say on whether they still want her to be their MP (and I am pretty certain I know what the result would be if they were given the choice!) but unfortunately, they will probably be stuck with this lame duck representing their interests - or pretending to - for a further four years.

What should be done about the House of Lords? Given the behaviour of the upper chamber over Brexit, it is unsurprising that a petition calling for its abolition has easily topped 100,000 signatures. It would be regarded as retrogressive to go back to the old system of hereditary peers having influence over the government of this country purely by merit of their birthright, but the Blair reforms, which resulted in the Lords being stuffed with political cronies, has left us

with something far worse. What is more, we can’t get rid of these people. They do not have to seek a fresh mandate every five years and the recall option, while a good way of getting rid of dud MPs, is an unsuitable tool for removing their Lordships because they don’t represent anybody.

What (if anything) should replace the current upper chamber is a subject which could be debated *ad nauseam*, but mentioning the Blair cronies highlights an important group of people whose influence also has to be curtailed if we are to re-boot the UK and upgrade its political structure. The big state has not only massively expanded its direct reach into our lives through legislation but also, by its close links with other organisations, its indirect reach.

In the UK of today, many companies, charities and other institutions have become dependent on government largesse for their survival. This has led to an unhealthy symbiotic relationship whereby these organisations have to support the government line on all sorts of issues. This in turn results in the leaders of such organisations being chosen from the ranks of those whose sympathies lie with the prevailing ideology of the government of the day. Many of us are naturally very suspicious of conspiracy theorists, but we don’t have to drift off into any fantasy world to find stark evidence of how detrimental government largesse is to freedom and genuine open political debate.

To take an obvious example:- one reason for the opposition of some charities to Brexit is that it is going to hit them in the pocket. Environmental charities have done particularly well. In 2015, 46% of the funding of Friends of the Earth Europe came from the EU. What is more, “who pays the piper calls the tune”. Europhiles will inevitably rise to the top. Given that senior management tend to move so readily from one company to another (and then, into politics or perhaps the media before getting a peerage) a similar mindset predominates among the leadership even of organisations which are not so dependent on government funding. Look at the recently departed head of the National Trust, Dame Helen Ghosh, a career civil servant whose suitability to head up an organisation concerned with our historic buildings was widely questioned at the time of her appointment. Last year, a rebellion erupted among volunteers when a decree went out requiring them to wear badges in support of gay pride and Dame Helen was rumoured widely to be the instigator of this.

Continued on page 3.....

For page 3 scroll down

.....
The concept of recall, whereby an MP who is not up to the job can be forced to seek a new mandate from voters is also essential.

Rebooting not abolishing continued:

So let us be clear – the re-booting of our country needs to go beyond politics. A tight, self-selecting circle of people wield influence that goes beyond Westminster and Whitehall. The big state has enabled a network to be built up which impinges on our lives in far more areas than we might initially think. Politically correct metropolitan types hold the reins of power in schools, big companies, charities and even the police force. They all need to be levered off their perches if our great nation is to recover from the depths into which it has sunk.

Where then do we begin? For a start, we need to seek to live in a way that maximises our privacy and gives the state and its politically correct allies as little opportunity as possible to intrude into our lives. Beware of conveniences which have a sting in the tail. For example, card transactions leave an electronic footprint. I would suggest keeping these to a minimum and using cash wherever possible. (Is it surprising that there are some eminent individuals within the ruling élite who would like to see cash abolished?) Try to use internet facilities which are not controlled by politically-correct mega-companies. Duckduckgo is a search engine which doesn't track you and I was recently told about <https://gab.ai/> and <https://www.minds.com/> which are social media platforms seeking to provide a genuinely open discussion forum as alternatives to Facebook and Twitter.

.....
Where then do we begin? For a start, we need to seek to live in a way that maximises our privacy
.....

We also need to face the reality of how much support for big-state institutions we may have subconsciously picked up because such a mindset has become the norm. Should the state run our railways? Our hospitals? Our schools? A lot of people would say yes and point to the genuine shortcomings, for example, of some train operating companies or the US healthcare system. To reiterate a point made earlier, however, there is no utopia under any political system. We are talking about least bad options rather than perfection and it is better for politicians, whose incompetence has been laid bare by the Brexit negotiations, to keep their hands off institutions which actually worked much better - albeit with some failings – before the state took them over. Therefore if you are contacted by a campaign asking if you would support higher taxes to fund the NHS, the answer has to be a vehement **NO**. Our Georgian and Victorian forebears would have laughed out of court the idea that the state knows better how to spend our hard-earned money than we do ourselves.

On the subject of money, do not be misled either by the idea that government spending is the answer to economic problems. Keynesianism with its

fiscal activism may dominate the economics faculties of our universities, but its track record is one of unmitigated failure. Ignore also those who see an inflation target of 2% as a good thing. Friedrich Hayek, intellectual impetus behind the Thatcher revolution, said “I am not only against inflation but I am also against deflation.” We only need to consider Greece to see how damaging deflation can be, but inflation is just as bad. It only benefits the lazy and profligate and, when deliberately encouraged by the government to enable it to borrow more cheaply, is nothing less than theft. Stable prices are far more beneficial to responsible people. Whether these can be better achieved by “Monetarist” or “Austrian” economics is a debate best left for specialists!

It is worth educating ourselves with the research and campaigns of groups truly committed to cutting the size of the state. In this country, the TaxPayers’ Alliance does an excellent job in exposing the wastefulness of government. As a former editor of its in-house magazine *Freedom Today*, I am naturally bound to mention one of the oldest s m a l l - g o v e r n m e n t

campaign groups, The Freedom Association. There are also a number of think tanks committed to shrinking the state which have produced some helpful and imaginative research. The oldest of these is the Institute of Economic Affairs, whose papers do not deal merely with economic issues and are well worth reading. Others include the Centre for Policy Studies, founded by Sir Keith Joseph and Margaret Thatcher, and the Adam Smith Institute, named after the celebrated Scottish advocate of free trade.

Mentioning the subject of trade raises one of the challenges of shrinking the state. Creating a drastically shrunk state is a process; any attempt to rush it through would cause great hardship and be politically unacceptable. Free trade proves the point. If I want to buy a car from a German manufacturer or a camera from a company in Japan, it is none of the government’s business. In other words, free trade is a good idea and consistent with the small state, but some businesses would suffer if all tariffs were suddenly abolished unilaterally. Take the Welsh hill farmers whose margins, even with some degree of support for their product, are very small. A sudden deluge of tariff-free lamb from New Zealand would put them all out of business.

We do need rich, sympathetic donors to fund new institutions which will promote the shrinking of the state. It is a great shame that we only have five independent universities in this country. They tend to score far more highly when it comes to free speech.

Continued on page 4.....

For page 4 scroll down

Rebooting not abolishing continued:

Deprived of the stimulus of genuine debate which comes with free speech, all too many of our young people are leaving university unfit to run a wheel stall, let alone run the country.

We also need more alternatives to the BBC. A UK equivalent of Fox News would be most welcome. The internet has been a good source of alternative news, but sadly the close links between politicians and the chief executives of the internet giants is seeing restrictions being placed on what is accessible, even in supposedly free democratic countries. In the USA, for example, supporters of traditional family values claim they are facing censorship from Facebook.

Of course, finding politicians prepared to stand on a platform of giving much of their power back to the people isn't easy, but essential. Obviously, forget Labour and even more so the Greens, who besides being totally raving bonkers are also the most ardent advocates of the big state and political correctness. Old-fashioned Liberals are a rare breed now and more than a few Tories who have professed their admiration for Mrs Thatcher have proved a let-down. Sajid Javid, for instance, the newly-appointed Home Secretary, previously supported forcing all politicians and public sector workers to swear an "equality oath" in the

mistaken belief that this would somehow stop extremism. Could there be an opening for a rejuvenated UKIP to campaign on a ticket of very limited government? It's hard to say. I certainly don't think that now is the right time for the formation of a new political party. Brexit is going to result in a big shake-up of the entire political landscape and it is best to see what things will look like when we finally leave before starting anything new.

Updating our democracy is going to be a long campaign, but such is the bloated nature of the state that public support for shrinking it is likely to be substantial once the underlying philosophy can be explained. Like the Chartists of old, we must be prepared for years of hard slogging. In an article like this, I can only touch the surface of both the problem and what needs to be done. If time permits one day, I'd like to turn these thoughts into a book, but I trust that this little piece will sow a few seeds. We live in a fantastic country, its climate and lousy politicians notwithstanding. Brexit provides us with the chance to be a world leader once again. We must not let slip this tremendous opportunity, especially as the consequences of failing to grasp it will be the triumph of political correctness and a tyranny worse than anything we have known in the last 300 years.

A DATE FOR YOUR DIARY

BY RELUCTANT TV LICENCE PAYER

We have to pay the TV licence fee, but why make it easy for them? Unlike other outgoings I pay it by cheque, enclosing a letter to TV Licensing (copied to the BBC and Dept. for DCM&S) explaining why I object to paying. If sent less than a week before the current licence expires a legalistic warning letter is triggered. If thousands did this it would gum up the system and the government might pluck up the courage to reform this unjust and antiquated BBC tax.

Last years missive comprised a list of complaints about Left wing and pro-EU bias. The BBC will never admit error, dismissing complaints with an assumed infallibility that would have shamed a medieval pope. Prominent on my list was the failure to take up my suggestion early last year to mark the first anniversary of the referendum by repeating in its' entirety the coverage of the results on the BBC Parliament channel.

Recently I wrote suggesting it to be shown on the second anniversary, 23rd June, not expecting anything other than the usual promise that it will be passed around the highest levels of BBC management. I am delighted to report that

they say they are going to do it, even better lasting all day so we won't lose any sleep. So stock up with a few bottles of ale, or whatever you prefer to take you over the nanny state limit of two alcohol units per day, and look forward to enjoying past glories.

Of course, if they renege I'll look a complete fool, which is why I've used a nom de plume.

THE BARNABAS FUND

CAMPAIGNING FOR RELIGIOUS FREEDOM

**Contact: 9 Priory Row, Coventry,
CV1 5EX**

Telephone: 024 7623 1923

Email: info@barnabasfund.org

Sign the petition at:

<https://barnabasfund.org/our-religious-freedom-petition>

TIME TO BE CLEAR ABOUT STRATEGY & TACTICS

BY BRUCE BENNETT



Bruce Bennett: strategy and tactics.

Sun-Tuz the 6th century Chinese General and military strategist said something that has been roughly translated as ‘only fight the battles you can win’ (he actually phrased it in the negative). He also said strategy without tactics is the slowest route to victory. Use of tactics is something the Remainers and collection of left-wing

socialists appear to understand on an intuitive level. Whilst we, perhaps more good hearted and less cunning Brexiteers have indeed taken a slow route and now are in very real danger of losing the victory of a full, good clean, Brexit. Whilst UKIP has, and always has had, a clear aim, it appears now to have neither strategy or tactics.

Some people, myself included have been attracted to UKIP as a positive response in opposition to the growing Neo Marxist influence of the rule from Brussels. For many there is a growing understanding and belief that the ideology of the EU is an outworking of the post-war Frankfurt School of Marxism. Roughly and loosely explained their idea was, ‘if socialism has failed because of the people – it is always the people’s fault never the system – and it is a bit uncomfortable to explain why Stalin needed to murder forty-millions of his own, better to play the long game and change the hearts and minds of the people over time, and or, even replace the people’. Whether this is a correct analysis or not, the ideology of Brussels is unarguably one that seeks to end the national and cultural identity of the European peoples and to push forward a utopian agenda of making all people equal. Unfortunately, as George Orwell coined the phrase, “some animals [people] are more equal than others”. Once again, one set of elites is inevitably exchanged for another.

Marxism, in all its forms, soft or hard socialism, is deeply anti-Christian. Marxist socialism stole the social concern and awareness so deeply imbedded in the Judaeo-Christian Bible and turned these ideas into a humanistic philosophy, or we might say, de-spiritualised the understanding. All Marxist doctrine asserts that

religion is a purely human construct. Quoting Marx himself, ‘the opium of the people’. Nothing more than a mechanism of social control. Of course, that beggars the question; so what is Marxism if not social control? However, in Marxist and Social Humanist terms it is in humanities control to create a heaven or hell on earth. Marxist doctrine asserts ‘heaven’ can only be achieved by creating an equal society where the state (Brussels) controls all.

This ‘new’ Marxism appears to be strangely pro-Islamic in its pursuit of creating its vision of a European utopia. European cultural identity is to be eradicated, but some groups, especially Islam, are allowed to flourish? Presumably because they are anti-Christian. There are many conspiracy theories doing the rounds as why that is the case. I do not intend to explore such theories here. Instead, I will endeavour to consider the circumstances as I best understand. Notwithstanding, it is unarguably true that Europe has been flooded with migrants who are mostly Muslim. Notably, Christian migrants from outside of Europe have been very much in the minority, if not almost non-existent, regardless of the fact Christians are the most persecuted group in the world today. A fact that President Trump commented upon in relation to Syrian migrants to the USA. Trump was viciously rounded upon by the BBC who declared his statement to be ‘Fake News’. The Christian Institute – a very reliable source – verified the facts and supported Trump’s assertion, and consequently made several requests to the BBC to retract, broadcast a correction, and to give an apology. You will not be surprised to know that such a retraction was never forthcoming.

Despite all the pronouncements that Islam is a religion of peace and that the terrorists are not really Muslims, a little enquiry will quickly cause the open-minded researcher to question such statements. The definition of peace in Islam is when the whole of humanity comes under sharia law and each person can wholeheartedly recite the Shahadah – the Islamic statement of faith. To do so amounts to denying the Christian Bible and the divinity of Jesus, and inasmuch the Christian culture. In Islamic terms, it is Islam’s duty to bring into reality the aim of

Continued on page 6.....

For page 6 scroll down

Strategy and tactics continued:

making the world Muslim, and if that cannot be achieved by non-violent means, then for many, violence is permissible.

Therefore, we find there are two powerful anti-Christian forces at work that appear to have joined an unholy alliance. Neo-Marxism, which puts man at the centre of all things, and Islam which seeks to bring all humanity under sharia law. However, if we agree with such an analysis and conclusion, what is there to do? This understanding for people who seek peace – Christian or otherwise – makes for a dilemma. If violence is not an option for us, and we wish to be careful not to incite others to violence and hatred, just how do we combat these forces that seek to marginalise, if not eradicate, our Judaeo-Christian heritage?

I can do little more than pose the questions. Notwithstanding, I believe having a clear understanding of the situation and the forces at play is a good place to start. Then with such an understanding we can be both more strategic and tactical in our approach to achieving our goals. Also, we need to be more aware of the underhanded tactics of those who oppose us. A favourite ploy of the opposition is to use the old ‘when did you stop beating your wife’ tactic. Implying guilt as a fact and knowing the accusation will be remembered more than any rebuttal, thereby wrong-footing us from the outset.

Despite what we know and our utter frustration with the media, we need to be cleverer – more tactical. The fight must be kept clear of

.....
Also, we need to be more aware of the underhanded tactics of those who oppose us.
.....

anything that we cannot support as factual and could be thrown back at us as Islamophobia or racist. Also, keep our attack firmly upon political absurdities, half-truths and lies. Show Labour Remainers up for the, anti-democratic class traitors they really are, and Conservatives Remainers for their betrayal of the nation and the people’s democratic choice.

In order to avoid being wrong-footed I suggest that knowing our facts, and clearly and calmly opposing the lies - so often put forward by the pro-Brussels media as fact - is a strategy in trusting people to recognise the truth. However, we must be more guarded and circumspect about what we put into the public

domain, because so often we are our own worst enemy and hand a very big stick to those who wish to beat us because of our indiscriminate use of social media. We should only repost and use verifiable material from sources or people we can trust. This is usually organisations – such as The Christian Institute and The Barnabas Fund - that have offices and staff, have active projects and rely on voluntary donations for their existence.

Therefore, as we watch the prospect of a meaningful Brexit disappear we must start laying the groundwork for the battles to come. The prospect of yet another EU referendum, and or, the possibility that the next general election will be a referendum by proxy are real. My suggestion is to box clever, don’t get angry and build for future success strategically and tactically. And of course, as a Christian I would say, the best strategy is to return and fill the churches.

A REAL ALTERNATIVE

BY COLIN BULLEN

Following the local election results the BBC took great delight in proclaiming the collapse, and virtual extinction of UKIP, a belief repeated by the morons of that open sewer, social media. The latter remind me of P G Wodehouse’s description of those occasions concerning his character Bertie Wooster when “*Aunt is calling Aunt like mastodons bellowing across primeval swamps*”. This image of brainless, and totally ignorant beasts, making a great deal of noise, is best summed up by Macbeth when he referred to life as “*a tale, told by an idiot, full of sound and fury, signifying nothing.*” This, as a description of the nonsense to be found on Facebook, Twitter etc., can hardly be bettered, although ‘*empty vessels make most noise*’ comes close.

In reality, as Mark Twain said, when premature notices of his demise were printed “*The report of my death was an exaggeration*”, UKIP is anything but dead. It is true that many people think that Brexit is an accomplished fact, and that therefore UKIP is no longer required, but, as we know, the government is very far from implementing the result of the EU referendum. When this becomes clear to all, the only choice for Leave voters will be to turn back to UKIP if they wish to see the UK free of rule from Brussels.

Even as I write we see the three stooges Nick Clegg, David Miliband and Nicky Morgan trying yet again to overturn the referendum vote, as they pursue
Continued on page 7.....

For page 7 scroll down

A Real Alternative continued:

their anti democratic, and unpatriotic, efforts to ignore the clearly expressed wishes of the British people. At least the first two are not MPs, but Morgan was elected in 2017 on a Conservative manifesto which promised to leave both the single market, and the customs union, so she is in breach of trust with those who supported her at the general election. They are joined by accomplices in the Lords, the Civil Service, and the media, who are making an all out attempt to defeat Brexit, a truly disgraceful campaign.

It is anyway true that, even temporarily disregarding the vital issue of EU membership, there has long been a need for a democratic alternative to the consensus policies of the three old parties. On matters as diverse as defence, public health, education, free speech, manufacturing, energy supplies and law and order the electorate are confronted by parties claiming to advocate different positions, but in fact merely echo their shared desire that, as the proverb has it “*the more things change, the more they stay the same*”, ensuring that the comfortable status quo remains inviolable.

On defence, despite the protection of the realm being a government’s most important responsibility, we see the budget for the Armed Forces constantly being reduced by so called reviews. We have an army which could not fill Wembley Stadium, an Air Force which has suffered cut after cut, and even the Royal Navy, despite the advent of the new aircraft carriers, lacking the actual fighters to operate from their decks. On matters of morale ministers seem happy to subject our brave soldiers to repeated enquiries about their conduct in combat, although the vast majority of the claims made about unacceptable behaviour come from politically motivated enemies of this nation.

The main parties always claim that the NHS is a major priority, and safe in their hands, yet do nothing about the vast numbers of parasitic managers, whose financial burden upon the service is only exceeded by their consistent incompetence. How many times must it be pointed out that the NHS pays far more than is necessary for basic supplies before these people take any notice. We could cut the number of bureaucrats involved by at least half, saving vast sums which could be spent on the front line, where patients need it.

The education system is a joke, left liberal ideologues having totally undermined the system set up by Attlee’s Labour government, based on the 1944 Education Act, which gave intelligent youngsters from poor families the opportunity to enjoy a grammar school education. The dumbing down which has taken place over past decades has had the obvious consequences for the universities, which are now expected to take in large numbers of those completely unsuited to degree courses, causing youngsters to run up huge debts to obtain qualifications which are of no

real use in the jobs market.

One of the greatest betrayals is that of freedom of speech at the hands of the pernicious doctrine of political correctness. The universities, supposedly bastions of open debate and freedom of expression, now allow arrogant students to ‘no platform’ those with whom they disagree, to limit, by the use of so called ‘trigger warnings’, the open expression of certain ideas, in case the poor little snowflakes would be upset by it, and even to ban major newspapers which do not slavishly espouse the left liberal agenda. In society in general there is much talk of diversity, by which its vociferous advocates mean in such matters as race and sexual orientation, but which does not extend such to opinions which challenge their narrow view of the world. Again the imbeciles of social media generate ‘Twitter storms’, directed at anyone who disputes their idiotic ideas. The answer to such things should be the sort of Anglo Saxon expressions previous generations would have used, but which now too many feel too cowed to utter.

The demise of so much of manufacturing is a disgrace. We now have the situation where the great yards of the North, Scotland and Northern Ireland, which once turned out enormous numbers of ships, are silent, while we spend great sums buying from manufacturers in such nations as Italy and France. British workers are as capable as anyone of building great ships, but successive governments see only pound signs, and sacrifice domestic industry to save money, ignoring both the jobs lost, and the fact that strategic industries are essential to our survival in times of war. It is a scandal that British firms are frequently ignored by our own governments in favour of those located abroad, even when purchasing weapons. Our much vaunted service industries will be of no use in the event of combat, unless we believe that throwing stock certificates at tanks will ensure victory. Associated with this is the farce of energy supplies. The politicians have chosen to believe all the nonsense emanating from the militant environmentalists about anthropological global warming, and have therefore decided to abandon all our reliable sources of energy, and turn to so called renewables, which cannot, except in the minds of fanatical greens, ever provide a substitute for traditional means of energy generation. As a consequence our coalfields close, and the possible benefit we might gain from fracking for natural gas, is ignored, in fear

Continued on page 8.....



Colin Bullen: news of UKIP's demise premature.

A Real Alternative continued:

of offending the tree huggers. The really effective alternative of nuclear energy is also given a low priority, again because these people seem incapable of distinguishing between power generation from nuclear fission and thermonuclear bombs. All this in spite of the fact that China will be increasing carbon emissions by an annual amount exceeding our total figure, and that the evidence for human agency in any possible changes in global climate is patchy at best.

When it comes to law and order successive governments have chosen the soft option, treating criminals more like victims than perpetrators, imposing soft sentences even for heinous crimes. We should be seeking to emulate Gilbert and Sullivan's *Mikado*, in making the punishment fit the crime, not leaving society prey to vicious thugs. Often these weak policies are advocated by the left, yet the greatest victims of crime are usually from the poorest neighbourhoods. The prisons seem to be open house for drugs, and are laughed at by career criminals.

One could go on and on about the utter failures of the political class over the past five or six decades, but the point is made. We have an elite of selfish, career politicians, who are both incompetent and frequently corrupt, as illustrated by the scandal of MPs' expenses a few years ago. That is why we need a sensible, democratic party to offer a real alternative to the failed consensus parties. Only today in the *Daily Telegraph* it was suggested that there was a gap for such a new party, but that is not necessary, as one exists, and its name is UKIP.

Join the British Weights & Measures**Association: BWMA**

Membership is £12 pa, please make payments to 'BWMA', post to: 29 Chart House Road, Ash Vale, Surrey, GU12 5LS.

Web-site: www.bwmaOnline.com

JOIN

Membership is £20 a year or £10 for OAPs

Write to:

**78 Carlton Road, Worksop
Nottinghamshire S80 1PH.**

Contact

admin@campaignforanindependentbritain.org

Web-site: www.euroseptic.org.uk

Tel: 0845 5197254

THE JUNE PRESS BOOKS

Tel: 08456 120175

E-mail: info@Octoberpress.com

Seizing the moment. The opportunities for UK fisheries after Brexit by John Ashworth £4.00. Brexit a golden opportunity. Why the UK must not allow the CFP to be replicated into British law as proposed with the Great "Repeal" Bil, for political convenience or short term vested interests. UK withdrawal can automatically restore control over the UK(EEZ) out to 200nm/midline and all resources therein.

Britain's Referendum Decision and its Effects by Stephen Bush £8.99 . Fact based and clearly written for now and the future, this book will help you to make an informed decision about EU membership.

Clean Brexit Why Leaving The EU Still Makes Sense by Halligan & Lyons, £20.00. Two leading economists give a very through account of how the UK can deal with the Brexit negotiations and how to build a post-Brexit economy for all.

What it Will Look Like How leaving the EU and the Single Market can be made to work for Britain by Robert Oulds, Gary Robinson and Dr Lee Rotherham, £5.00. The ten main concerns of leaving the EU are addressed in this publication with a bold vision on how exiting the EU, and even the single market and the customs union, can be made to work for everyone.

**SEND PAYMENTS TO
THE JUNE PRESS LTD**

PO Box 119, Totnes, Devon, TQ9 7WA.

Please add 10% P & P

TO CONTACT THE EURO REALIST BULLETIN

Send your e-mails to: eurorealistnl@aol.com
The Euro Realist is published by WAEC, write to: "WAEC, 53 Daisy Bank Crescent, Walsall, WS5 3BH.Tel: 01922 631970, or, 07813 153897

The Euro Realist Bulletin is sent out by e-mail only and is free to those who wish to receive it