



# The Euro Realist Bulletin

An occasional bulletin

campaigning for an EU free Britain &

Parliamentary sovereignty

Edition 47

MARCH 2018

## REES-MOGG'S RED LINES

The group of 62 Conservative MPs in the European Research Group (ERG) which is led by Jacob Rees-Mogg, have in a letter to Theresa May set out their red-lines regarding Brexit. They insist the UK must retain "full regulatory autonomy" after our escape from the EU and must be free to sign trade agreements with other countries during the transition period.

**Despite** Anna Soubry's mad rant that the members of her party are not true Conservatives, those members of the ERG are proving they are being true to their country and the original principles of Conservatism which Mrs Soubry knows nothing about.

**Without** the support of the ERG Tories Mrs May will not be able to govern. The letter sent to the Prime Minister has within it six specific demands which are designed to tilt the argument in favour of a true Brexit. The ERG offer support to the PM but not if she fails.



**JOIN THE BRUGES GROUP**

**214 Linen Hall, 162-168 Regent Street,  
London, W1B 5TB.**

**Tel: 020 7287 4414**

**E-mail: [info@brugesgroup.com](mailto:info@brugesgroup.com)**

## BETRAYING LABOUR VOTERS

The extreme left wing Marxist leader of the Labour Party, Jeremy Corbyn, who has recently been accused in the press of having meetings with a Soviet backed Czech spy in the late 1980's, has in effect stuck two fingers up to the majority of Labour voters.

**On Monday** 26th February, speaking in the Jaguar car plant in Coventry, he revealed his plans to screw-up Brexit with a form of customs union. He has abandoned any idea of the UK being an outward global free trading nation and wants the British people, despite the majority voting to leave the EU and all its institutions. Corbyn is happy for the EU to still dominate over the UK and to have no restrictions on EU immigration.

**It was the issue** of cheap Eastern bloc EU labour that has most failed the majority of Labour voters as this incoming cheap labour undermines indigenous workers, which is a massive betrayal of Labour Voters.

## *The Freedom Association*

**IF YOU BELIEVE IN FREEDOM JOIN THE  
FREEDOM ASSOCIATION**

**Membership (cost £30 per annum)**

**Contact: The Freedom Association,  
Richwood House, 1 Trinity School Lane,  
Cheltenham, Gloucestershire, GL52 2JL**

**Tel: 01242 235333**

**WEB-SITE: [www.tfa.net](http://www.tfa.net)**

**E-MAIL: [mail@tfa.net](mailto:mail@tfa.net)**

## THE TORY MYTH

BY COLIN BULLEN

It is a rare person who lives only in a strictly factual world. Human nature being what it is, when children, we love fairy tales, and, as is evidenced by the massive number of Science Fiction films produced, and the popularity of fantasies such as Harry Potter, like to escape into stories of strange worlds when adults.

**Every nation** has its myths, often based upon some sort of truth but which, if examined in detail are not actually true, or are at least unverifiable. For instance was the Trojan War anything but a myth, and how much truth is there in the tales of King Arthur, or Robin Hood. The same sort of doubts can be extended to the supposed sayings of real or fictional characters. Sherlock Holmes never said, anywhere in the canon, “Elementary my dear Watson”, Captain Kirk never said “Beam me up, Scotty” and the oft repeated quote from Voltaire “I disapprove of what you say, but I will defend to the death your right to say it” was really first written by the English author Evelyn Beatrice Hall, albeit in an attempt to encapsulate the French philosopher’s basic beliefs.

**Much of the time** none of this matters, as myths can give comfort and reassurance, provided of course that they are not twisted to instil enmity against others. However there are some which can distort perceptions in a way that can be damaging and, unfortunately, we are suffering from some very malign examples today.

**One**, which I have written about before, and will probably return to again, is the idea that the EU is a benign entity, spreading peace and light in the world, while another is that the BBC is an unbiased organisation, unafraid of telling the unvarnished truth, rather than an obedient creature of the Brussels bureaucracy. Laughable as these beliefs are they are helping to promote the idea that the UK is wrong to leave the EU, but there is another, which is doing even more damage to our country, and which has persisted for decades, and that concerns the true nature of the Conservative party.

**The myth is** that the Conservative party represents inter alia, patriotism, a desire to conserve what is best about the country, support of strong defences, a reluctance to follow fickle fashion, the need for a strong economy and a



Colin Bullen: myth that the Conservative Party is patriotic.

sturdy defence of democracy and freedom. The reality is unfortunately very different.

**Ignoring** the days in previous centuries, when the Tories only represented the landowners and the ruling class, one can concentrate on the period which lies within living memory. In the period leading up to the Second World War the leadership of the party was committed to a shameful policy of appeasement, while so many of its supporters among the upper classes actually looked upon the Nazis as allies against Communism, and, stupidly, were prepared to approve of Hitler as a means of preserving their own privileges. It was only thanks to Churchill, Eden and their associates that this country did not take that path, but one must remember that Churchill was always regarded as a maverick by the inner circles of the party and that much, if not all, of the patriotic reputation the party relies upon is derived from that time when it was led by a man who was never really accepted by them.

**Whatever truth** there may have been in the view that the party was patriotic has been completely shattered by its behaviour in the days since Edward Heath took over as leader. His allegiance was always to the idiotic plans of the European ideologues, never to the interests of the UK, and his spirit still rides high within the leadership. Margaret Thatcher is revered by some as an advocate of freedom from the European project, but, in reality, when those such as myself were out delivering leaflets for the ‘No’ campaign in 1975, she was going around wearing a t-shirt advocating remaining inside. There have been some leaders, such as Ian Duncan Smith, who have seemed more committed to Eurorealism, but I always remember that William Hague came along to a CIB fringe meeting, assured us that he was opposed to the EU, then joined the Remainers in the run up to the 2016 referendum.

**As far as defence** is concerned the Conservatives have consistently cut funding, conducted reviews which have deeply damaged the forces, and are unwilling to spend what is necessary to meet the commitment of protecting this country. Of course this is the result of the

**Continued on page 3.....**

**For page 3 scroll down**

party being essentially run by those with no long term vision, who worship money above anything else, and, to be frank, are none too bright. Despite the fact that we lost millions of people defeating Germany in two world wars these worshippers of Brussels would be happy to see the UK as a supplicant province of a German dominated European Empire. All the generations before this milk and water one would know precisely what to call those who wish to transfer the executive power of the nation to a foreign capital.

**When it comes** to common-sense, as opposed to following contemporary fashion, the Tories have exceeded themselves in recent years. Despite it being obvious that the global warming scare is nothing but a scam, dreamt up by the environmental lobby to garner funds, the Conservatives have

signed this country up to green policies which, if actually implemented, will see us subjected to massive blackouts in the future. They have also bent the knee to vociferous groups representing sexual minorities, ignoring all the objections from religious bodies, and are attempting to overturn millennia of human nature, spreading confusion about sexual identity among youngsters at a time in their lives when such matters should be taboo. They have allowed health and safety fanatics to impose restrictions which go far beyond what is needed and, perilously, to connive at a reversal of the principle of being innocent unless proved guilty, by encouraging the police to persecute live, and even dead people, based on the word of fantasists. The utter disgrace of recent trials where full disclosure of the facts has been prevented because of political bias illustrates just how corrupt the justice system is becoming.

**Political** correctness is creating a thought police in this country, and indeed in the USA, which threatens democracy, freedom of speech, and freedom itself, but the useless leaders of the Tory party seem quite happy to do nothing to stop it. All that would be required would be to tell these lunatics to shut up but the government is too pusillanimous to do it.

**The strengthening** of the economy which Brexit offers could help transform the lives of many in this country but again, the Tories, representing those idle businessmen who refuse to see beyond the ends of their noses, and intimidated by arrogant multinationals, who care

nothing for this country, are throwing away a golden opportunity. They join with know nothing liberal commentators in deriding President Donald Trump, who is a supporter of this country, unlike his predecessor, thus endangering the prospect of a beneficial trade deal with the USA, in order to seem, as the morons say *right on*. The manner in which Lord Beeching's report was used to destroy much of our railway system, which we now wish still existed, shows just how short term are the concerns of politicians, who think only of the next couple of years, never the long term future.

**Although many** who have joined UKIP were not former Conservatives it would be foolish to deny that very many are. If the Tory party really represented what it purports to represent there would never have been a need for UKIP, as we would not have

become involved with the insane European project. As it is the current Tory leadership is completely out of step with the bulk of the membership and, while there are a few among them who do not support membership of the EU, we look in vain for a Churchill to save us now, as May and her accomplices continue to give in to every one of Brussels arrogant demands. Does anyone in their right mind not expect the May government eventually to obey their masters in Brussels.

**No doubt** dyed in the wool Tories will claim that the Labour party is worse, and they may be right, but what a choice to put before the British people. Those who still believe in the myth of the Tory party should wake up, as it conserves nothing, is a disgrace, and is betraying Britain again, just as it did under Chamberlain.

**As it becomes** clearer that the Tories intend to betray Brexit, leaving the UK effectively trapped within the EU, it is vital that those who wish to see this country independent once again give their support to UKIP

.....  
**Political correctness is creating a thought police in this country,**  
.....



**Leave Means Leave, 55 Tufton Street, London, SW1P 3QL**

<http://www.leavemeansleave.eu>

## DIFFERING MINDSETS

BY DEREK BENNETT



Derek Bennett:  
remainers lack  
confidence.

In the run up to the June 2016 referendum when out campaigning to free the UK from the clutches of the EU, in my local town of Walsall, a small group of sad looking remainers would turn up and do their lamentable best to convince people to vote for the suicidal option for our nation of remaining in the EU. This struggling group were up against the odds, few people wanted to engage with them, those that did many would then speak to our larger group of leavers to let us know they had given the remainers short shrift. I even felt sorry for them as they were well and truly up against it with Walsall being such a strong 'Leave' town.

**On one Saturday**, one of these sad souls tried to make a friendly overture and asked me why I wanted to leave the EU, I told him because I cared for democracy and sovereignty and the right for us to govern ourselves without EU laws overriding ours. I then asked the EU remainder why he hated his country so much he wanted it to be governed and run by an unaccountable and unelected body of people on the continent, he had no answer and all communications ended there.

**Since then** I have often wondered what it is that makes people think the EU is so wonderful they support it so unconditionally, what makes them think the UK is better off being in the EU? I have come to the conclusion our differences are all in the mind, it is down to mindsets.

**When you listen to** and study what the pro-remainers are saying it is obvious, they lack confidence, these people are insecure, they have so little faith in the UK that they feel we can't survive globally without the EU's stifling security blanket wrapped about us. They even believe that without the EU the whole European continent would have reverted to war once again. Why they think such an improbable outcome considering free trading democracies never go to war is hard to understand. Going on that perverted logic then the Allies from World War Two should have, at some time since the end of hostilities in 1945, returned to conflict with Japan, which disproves their claim the EU is the reason for peace in Europe.

**Looking at it** from the reasoning and mindset of the leavers, theirs is one of faith and confidence in their nation, their system of Government and the UK's ability to make its way on global markets under its own steam. Leavers care strongly for democracy and the right for our Governments and Parliament to govern and make laws without interference from outside sources, such as the EU. They have the confidence in knowing that the UK's long history of being a global power and trading nation will not fail us, remainers lack that conviction.

**After years** of pro-EU brainwashing in schools, universities and the BBC over the 45 years the UK has been a member of what began as the Common Market, a vast swathe of the public have been led to believe the UK depends on the EU as it is too small to keep its place in the world. These people need to be taught to have confidence in our nation and to look at our history and the resolution that has seen us through some dire times.

**For those** who have read the Lord of the Rings, or seen the films, this lack of confidence reminds me of Grima Wormtongue who sapped away the confidence of the Lord of the Rohan by using false words, thus making him weak and impotent.

**The people** of the UK have stood up to tyrants several times over the centuries, we have not failed to do the right thing, now it is time to stand up to the overbearing tyranny of the EU and walk away - we do not need it and it is time for those with little confidence to learn to be brave and put their nation before the EU.

**Sadly**, there are mindsets which are hard to fathom or reason with, these are the mindsets of people such as Kenneth Clarke, Lord Hesselstine and many others who obviously support the EU for darker and more devious reasons. These people know exactly what the EU plans are, they know the EU plans to destroy the nation states of Europe and then re-build a new nation in the shape the EU wants. We can all feel sorry and to some degree understand why many remainers lack the confidence for the UK to govern itself after all the false statements made about the so-called 'benefits' of EU membership, however, what is the mindset of those who are complicit in the destruction of the UK?

**For page 5 scroll down**

## TRANSITIONING TO NOWHERE

BY JOHN PETLEY

For some time, I and a number of other leave campaigners have been flagging up the likelihood of the EU seeking to impose totally unacceptable terms on the UK during any transitional period. What has surprised me is how long it has taken for a real rumpus to break out over this issue. Thankfully, the penny has now started to drop. Jacob Rees-Mogg's claim that we would be a "vassal state" during the proposed 21-month period were disputed by David Davis, but any objective analysis of the documents produced by the European Parliament, Commission and Council over the last few months would support Rees-Mogg's position rather than that of the Brexit secretary. The demands which the EU would impose upon us are totally unacceptable and would be, to quote the latest buzzword "BRINO" – **BRexit In Name Only**.

**While we may** feel that the EU's terms (which we will consider shortly) are nothing less than an insult, it is an unfortunate truth that the Government's dilemma is partly our fault. We have been very good at saying **why** we should leave the EU but not so good at saying **how**. Very little public discussion of this critical issue had taken place before 2014, when the Institute of Economic Affairs offered a prize of €100,000 for the best blueprint for EU withdrawal. The winner out of no fewer than 149 entries was Ian Mansfield, a civil servant in the Foreign Office. After this flurry of activity, the only subsequent Brexit plan of any note before the 2016 referendum was Flexcit, primarily the work of Richard North but with contributions from other authors. In its final version, it ran to over 400 pages long.

**These publications** were all the work of private individuals. Conspicuous by its absence was any official Government document addressing this issue of how best to leave the EU. Indeed, even in the run-up to the referendum, David Cameron forbade the Civil Service from undertaking any serious study of how we might leave and would not allow any meetings between Whitehall and leave campaigners – so confident was he of a remain vote.

**So our divorce** from the EU is getting under way with politicians and civil servants alike

having to play catch up. Brexit was always going to be ground-breaking and the lack of preparedness has made the task even more difficult.

**It has not helped** that David Davis and his team have had to sit across the table from Michel Barnier and a very knowledgeable team from the EU who know their brief inside out. Indeed, not only has our side gone into these negotiations with very little idea of what it wants as an outcome (or how to get there), but also with a surprisingly poor understanding of what the EU actually is. Even John Major, one of our more Europhile Prime Ministers, had to be taken aside by Chancellor Helmut Kohl of Germany and told to "read the treaties" – clearly implying that he hadn't done so.

**Angela Merkel** once despairingly told David Cameron, "Your vision of the EU is so cold, David". With the exception of Edward Heath and perhaps Tony Blair, most British Prime Ministers have seen the EU very much as a trading bloc and have taken very little interest in the political dimension which, in reality, is the EU's *raison d'être*. This misconception persisted into the start of the Brexit negotiations, with Liam Fox expressing confidence as recently as last July that a trade deal between the UK and the EU would be the "easiest in history" because of our regulatory convergence. "The only reason that we wouldn't come to a free and open agreement is because politics gets in the way of economics," he added. Unfortunately, the Single Market, like the EU as a whole, always was part of a political project disguised as an economic project and on this rock, the early optimism expressed by Dr Fox and others has rapidly foundered.

**So our team** has found itself outplayed by the EU. Right from the outset, we made a bad move in conceding to the EU's demands that "sufficient progress" had to be made on the divorce bill, the Irish border question and the rights of EU nationals resident in the UK before moving on to discuss trade talks. There was no legal requirement for us to make these concessions under Article 50 of the Lisbon Treaty, the EU's prescribed escape mechanism.

**Continued on page 6.....**

**For page 6 scroll down**

.....  
 to quote the latest buzzword "BRINO" –  
**BRexit In Name Only.**  
 .....

**Transitioning to nowhere continued:**

**What is more,** our side completely underestimated the EU’s determination to preserve the integrity of the Single Market at all costs and when it became apparent that the EU wasn’t going to grant us full access from outside in spite of regulatory convergence, there seemed to be no Plan B, merely vague (and unhelpful) talk of a “Deep and Special” relationship.

**Disunity** over the desired endpoint of Brexit has not helped either. Philip Hammond, the Chancellor, seems keen for a post-Brexit UK to remain closely aligned with the EU, including retaining free movement of people. Other MPs, who aspirations are more in line with most Brexit voters, want us to strike out on our own path and drastically reduce immigration. Which point of view does Mr Davis represent? Where does the Prime Minister stand? You may have your opinions on this, but Michel Barnier must be tearing his hair out. How can he negotiate with people who aren’t clear about what they actually want?

**The idea** of a transitional deal may well have originated with a recognition by our government that it doesn’t look likely to come up with a blueprint in time to have everything agreed by March 29<sup>th</sup> 2019 – in other words, to buy some more time. To put it another way, we’re in this current mess not due to any government conspiracy to keep us in the EU by stealth but because of muddle at the heart of government and a recognition that businesses are waiting for guidelines as to how they will be operating after Brexit. The Transitional deal at least gives them some breathing space, but at a huge cost.

**The EU’s terms** for such an arrangement would result in us being highly unlikely to be able to move on from transition to full independence. Far from being a “bridge to the future”, as David Davis put it, the transitional deal would be more like the ruined Pont St Bénézet in Avignon, celebrated by the well-known song *Sur le Pont D’Avignon* – it may be feasible to dance on it but with only four arches of the original 22 remaining, it no longer serves its original purpose - providing a crossing of the River Rhône. It is a bridge to nowhere and the transitional deal is just the same. Let us take a closer look at the reasons why.

**To start with,** we would be subject to the full *acquis* for 21 months without any representation on any EU bodies. Yes, Mr Rees-Mogg is right. We would be a vassal state – a passive recipient of EU legislation and in a far worse state during these 21 months than we are now.

**Fair enough,** but isn’t it worth grinning and bearing it as it will only be for 21 months? This has been the sales pitch to recalcitrant Tory MPs, but it doesn’t wash for a number of reasons.

**Firstly,** there is no guarantee that the 21 months will be set in stone., Press reports suggest that informal discussions have already taken place regarding extending this period. After all, given the Government’s current dithering, who can guarantee that a long-term arrangement will be in place by December 2020?

**Secondly,** although there is some ambiguity about this, we may well not be able fully to participate in trading arrangements negotiated by the EU with third countries during the transition period. They appear to be under no obligation to trade with us on the same terms. Hopefully, common sense will prevail as there is no sense in countries like South Korea or Mexico applying tariffs on UK goods, but the EU is none too keen, so it seems, for trade to continue seamlessly between these countries and the UK after Brexit.

**Thirdly,** we will essentially be governed by the EU for 21 months with our Parliament having even less power than it does at the moment. We will be subject to the European Court of Justice, free movement of people will continue and any new EU legislation will be forced on us even though we will have had no say in the drafting of any of it. David Davis’ claim that it takes so long for new EU legislation to reach the stage when it can be incorporated into the national law of member states so therefore no new legislation formulated after 29<sup>th</sup> March 2019 will be ready before the end of the transitional period does not hold up. The Common Fisheries Policy was rushed through in three months so that it was ready for the UK’s entry into the EEC in 1973. .

**Fourthly,** 21 further months under the EU’s Common Fisheries Policy would do irreparable damage to our already depleted fishing

**Continued on page 6.....**

**Transitioning to nowhere continued:**

industry. By contrast, an escape in March 2019, especially if Fishing for Leave’s new fishing policy based on days at sea rather than quotas was adopted, would start a revival in our coastal communities from day one of Brexit.

**The most serious** issue, however, is the establishment of continuity rights once the implementation period ends. Fishing again illustrates the point well. If we allow the EU to control fishing in our waters, including the allocation of quotas, it will be very hard to take back control at the beginning of 2021. A new treaty would have to be signed to cover the transitional period and under the Vienna Convention on Treaties, it is very hard to row back on anything, not just fisheries rights, when a treaty is superseded.

**This is not** the Brexit we voted for. Thankfully, Mr Rees-Mogg has again been ahead of many of his colleagues in recognising the consequences of our government signing up to such an arrangement. “There would be a huge political storm”, he said. “People don’t want that”. In other words, a botched Brexit (or any sort of

“BRINO”) would mean annihilation at the polls for the Conservatives at the next General Election.

**Slowly**, his genuine pro-Brexit Tory colleagues are waking up too. This disastrous transitional deal may have got Mrs May off the hook so far, but it does nothing more than kick the can down the road, My suspicions is that we are heading for a massive crisis as this second round of negotiations begins. Although news headlines in the next month or so may cause us a few worried moments, we need to hold on to our hats. A crisis is necessary because until the unacceptability of the EU’s terms for a transitional arrangement hits home, our negotiators will not start looking for a different and better exit route

**Perhaps I should** leave the final word on this disastrous arrangement to our friends from Fishing for Leave:- *It is unbelievable that the political establishment could contemplate locking the fifth most powerful nation in the world into such a subservient position, especially against the expressed wish of the British people to leave the EU in its entirety, as voted for in the biggest vote in British history.*

**UKIP MEMBERS SACK HENRY BOLTON**

On Saturday 17th February 2018, UKIP members gathered in a packed Birmingham International Convention Centre for an EGM to decide the fate of the UKIP leader, Henry Bolton. This was the second UKIP EGM in eighteen years with the last being held in Westminster Central Hall in January 2000.



Henry Bolton: rejected by UKIP members

**During that** very raucous EGM it got so heated one man collapsed with a heart attack and died a few days later in St Thomas's Hospital, a point this EGM Chairman, Paul Oakden referred to by reading from Nigel Farage's book which related to this sad incident. He was determined this EGM would be far more orderly with only chosen speakers on both sides. Due to Paul Oakden's strict Chairmanship, this EGM was well run, as well as being fair to both sides.

**Good arguments** were made by both sides for and against Henry Bolton to the packed audience of over 1000 UKIP members, no press

were allowed in and no photography or video recordings were allowed. The motion gave two voting options, one in favour of the NEC vote of no confidence in Henry Bolton which meant he was voted out as leader, the other against the motion which meant he would be retained as leader and the NEC would resign.

**At the end** of the debate as members were about to vote Henry had a final say and made the threat he would sue if he lost the vote. Had he been a member of UKIP for longer than four years he would have known

you do not make threats to the party, by doing this he drove the final nail in his own coffin. Members who were prepared to give him the benefit of the doubt immediately changed their votes which spelled doom for the troubled leader.

**UKIP** will now go into yet another leadership election. Gerard Batten MEP in the meanwhile has been chosen by the party NEC as the interim leader.

## IN TUNE WITH BREXIT

Not many people would know the name Timothy Walker who is the chief executive and artistic director of the London Philharmonic Orchestra. At the end of January 2018 he said that Brexit could be an opportunity for British orchestras to escape the "onerous" red tape of the EU and re-engage with the rest of the world.

**Reported** in the Daily Telegraph on the 29th January he said the "disruption" of the Brexit vote had led to a new focus on performances outside Europe and throughout the Commonwealth, and the opportunities they may bring.

**The Telegraph** reported he commented in particular the "red tape" required to organise performances within the EU had increased in the past few years, with tax decisions by individual countries having a significant impact on the company. His views on this will be considered unusual in the arts world, which is overwhelmingly opposed to Brexit. It seems he is the only only arty type in tune with Brexit.

## JOIN UKIP

The UK's only true anti-EU political party.

TEL: 01626 830630

Write to:

PO Box 408

Newton Abbot

TQ12 9BG.

[www.ukip.org](http://www.ukip.org)



## JOIN



Membership is £20 a year or £10 for OAPs

Write to:

**78 Carlton Road, Worksop  
Nottinghamshire S80 1PH.**

Contact

[admin@campaignforanindependentbritain.org](mailto:admin@campaignforanindependentbritain.org)

Web-site: [www.eurosceptic.org.uk](http://www.eurosceptic.org.uk)

**Tel: 0845 5197254**

## THE JUNE PRESS BOOKS

Tel: 08456 120175

E-mail: [info@Octoberpress.com](mailto:info@Octoberpress.com)

**Seizing the moment.** The opportunities for UK fisheries after Brexit by John Ashworth £4.00. Brexit a golden opportunity. Why the UK must not allow the CFP to be replicated into British law as proposed with the Great "Repeal" Bil, for political convenience or short term vested interests. UK withdrawal can automatically restore control over the UK(EEZ) out to 200nm/midline and all resources therein.

**The Road to Freedom** by Gerard Batten MEP, £8.99. In this updated book, following the 2016 Brexit Referendum result, Batten with well argued points explains how Article 50, the Article for leaving the EU is a trap and that joining the EFTA or the EEA will not give the UK true independence. He shows why the only true way to leave the EU is for parliament to repeal the European Economic Communities act 1972.

**Britain's Referendum Decision and its Effects** by Stephen Bush, £8.99. Fact based and clearly written for now and the future, this book will help you to make an informed decision about EU membership.

**The Betrayal of British Industry** by J. Brian Heywood, £3.00. Experiences of how the UK government has let down the British Industry but helped other EU countries.

### SEND PAYMENTS TO THE JUNE PRESS LTD

PO Box 119, Totnes, Devon, TQ9 7WA.

Please add 10% P & P

### TO CONTACT THE EURO REALIST BULLETIN

Send your e-mails to: [eurorealistnl@aol.com](mailto:eurorealistnl@aol.com)  
The Euro Realist is published by WAEC, write to: "WAEC, 53 Daisy Bank Crescent, Walsall, WS5 3BH. Tel: 01922 631970, or, 07813 153897

The Euro Realist Bulletin is sent out by e-mail only and is free to those who wish to receive it