



The Euro Realist Bulletin

An occasional bulletin

campaigning for an EU free Britain &

Parliamentary sovereignty

Edition 45

JANUARY 2018

TRAITORS!

To accuse a person of being a traitor is a strong statement, yet this was how many in the public and press reacted to the treasonous actions of the 11 Tory rebels who voted against the wishes of their own party leader during the key Brexit vote, which was an amendment to the EU Withdrawal Bill.

These treasonous MPs tried to mitigate their anti-democratic actions which aimed to deny the will of the people, they looked squeamish when doing so. Instead of supporting the people and their country they have helped Jeremy Corbyn's near Marxist Labour Party.

Going a step further, in an additional act of treachery, was the ridiculous statement made by Lord Heseltine that a Labour Government would be preferable to Brexit. The Tory Peer has lurched so far towards his pro-EU fantasy he has stepped into the realms of federalist fiction. Many are now calling for him to have the Conservative whip removed. The voters need to remove the rest.

*Wishing all
Euro Realist readers
a very happy &
successful 2018*

TAXING DEMOCRACY

At the end of December 2017, the Daily Telegraph reported that several major 'Leave' campaign donors were being harassed by HM Treasury.

It seems that in a pique of frustration the pro-EU Treasury have used a relatively obscure area of inheritance tax laws which forces people to pay 20 per cent tax upfront on large gifts. Those being hit the hardest were named in the Telegraph as Aaron Banks, Peter Cruddas, Stuart Wheeler and Lord Edmiston.

Ironically, this revenge wheeze of the EU supporting 'establishment' has also entrapped one of their own. Remain supporter and donor, David Harding, has also fallen foul of the taxman's revenge due to him donating to the doomed 'Remain' campaign.

Stuart Wheeler, who has been a major UKIP donor, has revealed he was stung for £250,000 on his £1 million 'Leave' donation, which he has paid, after a threat of 2.5 per cent interest on the debt. This is a tax on democracy.

The Freedom Association

**IF YOU BELIEVE IN FREEDOM JOIN THE
FREEDOM ASSOCIATION**

Membership (cost £30 per annum)

**Contact: The Freedom Association,
Richwood House, 1 Trinity School Lane,
Cheltenham, Gloucestershire, GL52 2JL**

Tel: 01242 235333

WEB-SITE: www.tfa.net

E-MAIL: mail@tfa.net

For page 2 scroll down

THE ECJ MUST HAVE NO RULE IN A POST-BREXIT UK

BY JOHN PETLEY

English Common Law means what it says – common for all residents of the country. Everyone enjoys the same rights under the law and thus must be treated equally. Its origins go back over a thousand years and the freedoms it has engendered have been fiercely defended by our forebears in times past, notably at Runnymede in 1215 and during the Civil War in the 17th Century.

There have been a number of attempts to undermine the checks and balances of Common Law – and not just by home-grown tyrants like King John. In the later Middle Ages, the pope claimed a right to interfere in the affairs of the nations of Western Europe and English clergy made use of their right to appeal to the See of Rome and be tried under canon law if they found themselves accused of a crime.

In an attempt to restrict this abuse, the statutes of *Praemunire* were enacted, with the oldest dating from the reign of King Edward III in the 14th Century. They prohibited the assertion or maintenance of papal jurisdiction, imperial or foreign, or some other alien jurisdiction or claim of supremacy in England, against the supremacy of the monarch.

These laws did not totally address the popular perception of unfairness and double standards as far as cases concerning the clergy were concerned. Indeed, in the early 16th century, the Bishop of London, whose chancellor was reputed to have murdered a merchant tailor, claimed that a jury of any twelve men in London would condemn any cleric even though he were as innocent as Abel.

The Reformation thankfully did away with many of these abuses. There have been miscarriages of justice right through to our own time, but the principles of Common Law have largely been respected and even the rich and powerful have had to submit to its justice. For example, in 1541, Lord Dacre of Herstmonceux in Sussex was tried and condemned for killing a servant of a neighbouring nobleman while leading a poaching expedition on his land. As a friend of King Henry VIII, he hoped that he would be granted a pardon, but Henry was happy to let the law take its course and Dacre was hanged at Tyburn.

Because most of us have not found ourselves the wrong side of the law, we have little appreciation of just how favoured we are to have developed such an equitable criminal justice system. Ours is an adversarial system and the use of jury trials seeks to ensure that the evidence is honestly considered

and the correct verdict is reached.

There are plenty of other sensible safeguards. Defendants have a right to silence. No one may be tried *in absentia* – in other words without being present in court. Press coverage is restricted when a case is *sub judice* so as not to prejudice a fair trial. Most importantly, except where terrorism is involved, any person who is arrested must be charged in open court within 24 hours of arrest. Crucially, the charge has to be backed by *prima facie* evidence as opposed to hearsay. Even when the suspect is thought to have committed murder, detention without charge may only be extended, with the permission of magistrates, to a maximum of 96 hours.

Most of our continental neighbours are not so favoured. Napoleonic law, which in turn was based on the 6th Century *Codex* of the emperor Justinian, is inquisitorial. It is geared up to obtaining a “guilty” verdict. It is also a top-down system, where the state is the ultimate source of power, granting only such freedoms to the people as it deems fit. The inalienable liberties which we and our forebears have treasured for over a thousand years are unknown.

Given the fundamental differences between Common Law and the continental model, it is understandable that, upon independence, we would want to regain our legal independence. After all, one of the hallmarks of a sovereign state is autonomy in the field of criminal justice. Indeed, the ancient statutes of *Praemunire* were not repealed until 1967 in the run-up to our joining the European project. No one had hitherto felt any problems with the sentiments they expressed.

However, there are two potential pitfalls. The first concerns the European Arrest Warrant (EAW). Any Member State can issue an EAW and once issued, it requires another member state to arrest and transfer a criminal suspect to the issuing state so that the person can be put on trial or complete a detention period. In the UK, our magistrates have to enforce it, even though the EAW may relate to a charge that is not even a crime in the UK.

Edmond Arapi was subject to an Italian EAW in 2004, being convicted *in absentia* of a murder in Genoa, even though he had never visited Genoa in his life and was working in a café in Staffordshire on the day of the murder. Andrew Symeou, a UK citizen, was extradited to Greece, denied bail and incarcerated for 11 months on charges of “fatal bodily harm” thanks

.....
There have been a number of attempts to undermine the checks and balances of Common Law – and not just by home-grown tyrants like King John.

Continued on page 3.....

For page 3 scroll down

No Rule Post-Brexit continued:

to the signature of a Greek magistrate that no UK judge could overturn despite the evidence against him being obtained under duress. Mr Symeou published an account of his ordeal in a book called *Extradited*. He pointed out that unless, like him, you suffer from a miscarriage of justice, you are unlikely to appreciate just how flawed the EAW is

You would think that given these have been gross miscarriages of justice, our politicians would be united in using Brexit as a chance to end our participation in the EAW scheme. On the contrary, sadly, Amber Rudd, our Home Secretary has supported our ongoing membership, saying that it was “absolutely essential” for bringing criminals to justice.

It is true that cross-border crime is far more of an issue now compared with the days of *Praemunire*. It is far easier for criminals to cross international boundaries and some sort of extradition agreement would need to be signed with the European Union on Brexit. The bottom line, however, is that such an agreement existed before we joined the EU. There are organisations such as Interpol to which we would still belong. Senior police officers have pointed out that the EAW system has made extradition a lot easier, but this is beside the point. In allowing UK citizens to be subject to Napoleonic legal systems in the EU via the EAW, we are compromising our independence. Under the EAW, the UK authorities are not allowed to assess or even see the evidence of wrong-doing by the person being arrested. On the Continent, it is considered “reasonable” to keep a prisoner under lock and key with no public hearing for six months, extensible by three months at a time. Is it acceptable to expose UK citizens to such conditions?

The other threat to our judicial autonomy comes from the European Union’s desire to apply the principle of extraterritoriality for its nationals resident in the UK – in other words, they will be exempt from UK jurisdiction and be subject instead to EU law - and thus to the European Court of Justice (ECJ), This is very unreasonable and is reminiscent of the controversies surrounding canon law in the Middle Ages. Let’s face it, UK citizens living and working abroad cannot expect the right to be subject to UK law rather than the local variety. If our government asked the Saudi government to apply the principle of extraterritoriality because UK workers like to have a few pints and aren’t too keen on having their hands – or heads – amputated, such a request would be given short shrift in Riyadh.

You would think that given these have been gross miscarriages of justice, our politicians would be united in using Brexit as a chance to end our participation in the EAW

It is true that we have used this principal of extraterritoriality in the past, notably in China and Japan in the 19th century, but for the EU to try it on us in the 21st is unacceptable, especially given the superiority of our Common Law to most continental criminal codes, Furthermore, the ECJ isn’t a court to dispense justice as we understand the role of a court to be, but a political court, constituted to further the integrationist aims of the EU. I recently heard David Jones MP state that for he and many of his fellow MPs in the Conservative Party, any involvement of the ECJ in our affairs after Brexit was an absolute red line.

In this case, talk of any transitional deal along the lines which some in the government are suggesting is also dead in the water. The European Parliament indicated in a document published in April of this year that it would support a transitional deal, but its support would be conditional on preserving “*the integrity of the European Union’s legal order, with the Court of Justice of the European Union responsible for settling any legal challenges.*”

As Mrs Thatcher would have said, NO! NO! NO!”. The ECJ has wrought enough havoc in this country already. Brexit must mean Brexit judicially or it is no Brexit at all.

JOIN



Membership is £20 a year or £10 for OAPs
Write to:
78 Carlton Road, Worksop
Nottinghamshire S80 1PH.

Contact
admin@campaignforanindependentbritain.org
Web-site: www.eurosceptic.org.uk

Tel: 0845 5197254



Leave Means Leave, 55 Tufton
Street, London, SW1P 3QL
<http://www.leavemeansleave.eu>

WHO ARE THE LIARS?

BY DEREK BENNETT

No one likes to be called a liar, especially when they have studied and researched something for years only to be informed by some pompous, ill informed, know-all's we are making up the facts. That then is how we in the anti-EU campaign find ourselves as the arrogant and ignorant remoaners insist we are not telling the truth. So who are the liars?

To start with lets get some facts straight. Ever since the referendum the pro-EU fanatics have claimed the message of giving an extra £350 million to the NHS, which we all saw emblazoned on the 'Vote Leave' battle bus, was a lie. It was of course a suggestion, the fact remains that by leaving the EU we could save that amount of money when our obligatory EU payments cease. Sadly, Mrs May has screwed that one up by surrendering up to £40 billion to the EU to be paid over many years.

The fact is most who voted leave voted to save that money for what we need here in the UK. These pompous, arrogant and ill informed remoaners contemptuously announce those voters were so ignorant they did not know what they were voting for, which is a blatant lie as the leave voters knew exactly what they voted for, it was exactly what was on the referendum ballot paper, which was 'Leave'. That really meant leave and not the codge job Mrs May is making of our EU exit and the even worse cave in the remoaners want.

When did the lies start? If you look back through the long sad history of creating the EU superstate, which is something the pro-EU fanatics still lie about by denying that was ever the plan, it can be traced back as far as the 1920's and even before. You could say that was exactly what Napoleon and Hitler were aiming to do.

Going back in time people such as those who wanted to see the creation of a federal Europe knew the only way they could get their nation destroying intentions accepted by the people was to lie about it. There were federalists such as Arthur Toynbe who said: "We are **denying with Our lips** what we are doing with our hands".



Derek Bennett: lies told by europhiles.

There was also the classic act of deceit from one of the EU's founders, Jean Monnet, who said: "Europe's nations should be guided towards the superstate without their people understanding what is happening. This can be accomplished by successive steps, each disguised as having an economic purpose, but which will eventually and irreversibly lead to federation".

Our sad involvement started for real in the 1970's with the treasonous Heath Government, so desperate was Prime Minister Heath to get us in he surrendered our nation to the then Common Market, gave away our fishing waters and

deliberately lied to the electorate to cover the total betrayal he had carried out. Heath deceived people saying we were just joining a trading agreement and there would be "no essential loss of sovereignty" and no laws made in Europe would be imposed upon us. This was despite the 1972 European Communities Act, which he rammed through Parliament, in doing so surrendering all our laws to be overridden by all European laws.

When we take a look at the desperate history of all the pro-EU fanatics have declared in support of their mad EU idol, they have either deliberately deceived or being so fundamentally ignorant their warnings have been as good as lies. Look at their warnings about leaving the disastrous exchange rate mechanism (ERM). They warned that if we quit the ERM we would be left behind and we would all suffer, despite the fact the ERM was destroying the economy, creating mass unemployment, bankrupting businesses and seeing thousands losing their homes. The moment we crashed out we boomed. Now these same Quislings are giving the same warnings about leaving the EU. They were wrong on everything in the past so how can they be listened to now. It is time to stop listening to their lies, Britain will boom out of the EU just as it did when we escaped the ERM.

It is well proven it is the pro-EU fanatics who are the liars, not those who believe in the UK who want out of the EU with no compromise.

For page 5 scroll down

QUISLINGS

BY COLIN BULLEN

Let's be honest. The Remainers are winning, thanks to a poisonous combination of selfishness, ignorance and arrogance, combined with a massive democratic deficit in this country. As we knew would happen Mrs May, and the Quislings that surround her, have surrendered. Watching them approach Brussels on bended knee it was obvious that the Conservative party is now led by the direct heirs of the appeasers of the 1930s, such as Chamberlain, Halifax and Hoare.



Colin Bullen: Conservative Party led by appeasers.

What is particularly sickening is that, while newspapers which always support anyone but their own country, such as the *Guardian* and the *Independent*, are crowing about the deal, those which have backed the Leave campaign such as the *Express* and the *Mail* have also greeted this Munich style agreement with approbation for, when push comes to shove, these Tory papers decide to put party first. If they were to join the rest of us in demanding May's head it would have some effect, but instead they pretend that this Vichy government is working for the interests of the UK. The same goes for all the supposed Brexiteers, who have gone along with this betrayal, and that Johnson and Gove can agree to this deal is beyond belief. MPs refer to each other as 'Honourable' when most have all the honour owing to traitors such as Benedict Arnold, Kim Philby, Pierre Laval or Petain.

The action taken by the so called Tory rebels is utterly disgusting. Despite being elected at the last general election on a platform which purported to honour the result of the referendum, they are now intent on reversing it. They claim to be defending the sovereignty of parliament but they did nothing when laws created by Brussels were being applied to the UK without parliament having a word to say on them.

Will the Tory party's tribal voters, who would vote for a donkey if it was wearing a blue rosette, ever wake up to the fact that they, and the country, are being betrayed by these pusillanimous incompetents. Even a Corbyn government, as it would be led by those who loathe the EU, would be better than this bunch of selfish, and downright stupid, time servers who have no respect either for

democracy or for their country. One hopes that those who deserted UKIP at the last general election, believing that Brexit had been achieved, now realise just how badly they have been deceived.

The selfishness responsible for this state of affairs is not hard to identify. The politicians are determined to retain their access to an enhanced career ladder, where failure at Westminster may nevertheless see them obtaining obscenely well paid sinecures in Brussels, where they can strut their little hour upon a wider stage, feeling important as they sit on

committees of like minded traitors from the other EU states, not realising, or just not caring, that they are nothing more than puppets, being manipulated by the European Commission. The bureaucrats are delighted that they need no longer concern themselves with parliamentary oversight, as all the measures they desire can be introduced as EU regulations, and rubber stamped by our cowardly so called legislators. The bankers know that their frankly disgusting bonuses are safe, being protected by their fellow elitists, and big business love being able to lobby easily corruptible apparatchiks, without fearing any interference by democratically elected representatives.

The underlying ignorance is everywhere, never greater than on social media, where those whose knowledge of history seems to extend no further than knowing who won the last series of *Strictly Come Dancing*, and whose idea of democratic debate is to ignore every point made by their opponents, instead resorting to personal abuse, make the most ridiculous assertions about the workings of the EU, and clearly having no understanding of the reality. In this many are the victims of an education system which has been systematically undermined by the left liberals for decades, the type who pay each other hundreds of thousands of pounds per year to be vice Chancellors etc. They ensure that an objective consideration of the issues is replaced by slanted information, and is used primarily to further their agenda, often presenting facts selectively to encourage a particular perception, or using loaded

Continued on page 6.....

For page 6 scroll down

language to produce an emotional rather than a rational response. Taken together with a media which prefers to report prurient rumours rather than concern itself with matters of national importance, dominated by a national broadcaster which long ago abandoned even a pretence of being unbiased, whose ignorant commentators do not even seem to understand that when Gove says no parliament can bind its successors he is speaking no more than the truth, pumping out a constant flow of propaganda in support of the opinions of the *Guardian* reading elite they represent, it is not surprising that so many people have no real appreciation of the effects

of EU membership on this nation. Nevertheless the failure of the electorate to make the effort to acquaint themselves with the truth is deplorable, and may lead to them losing the democracy for which their predecessors fought and so often died.

The arrogance is almost beyond belief, not merely from those mentioned above, but also from know nothing celebrities giving their opinion on Brexit, without ever realising that the privileged lifestyle they enjoy, as part of the froth of our civilisation, has no relation to the lives of those whose hard work is the only reason that such essentially irrelevant activities can be sustained. We are supposed to give credence to the views of the luvvies, who can't even organise their own most major awards ceremony without messing it up, the sports pundits, many of whom clearly headed too many footballs in their youth, and think that their uninformed prejudices are the equivalent of serious thought, and to brainless pop singers who even admit that they don't understand the issues, but nevertheless support the EU. Thank God for Ringo.

What is unbelievably tragic is that we are throwing away one of the greatest opportunities in decades we have had to change direction and to restore Britain to the place of greatness it deserves. The new President of the United States is an Anglophile, who, as a patriot, understands and supports Brexit, while the President of Russia is a man who knows what it means to put your country first, and is leader of a great nation with whom we have no real quarrel. Why do our so called leaders constantly attack

We are supposed to give credence to the views of the luvvies, who can't even organise their own most major awards ceremony without messing it up,

Russia, a country with whom we fought against Napoleon, the Kaiser and Hitler in order to cosy up to the Germans who, twice in living memory, have done immense damage to us in their lust for conquest. When one thinks that the UK, with its historic markets and friends around the world, particularly in the Commonwealth and the Anglosphere, could sacrifice all these possible alliances in order to remain part of a declining, inward looking and undemocratic European Union, one can only despair.

There are a few ways in which we might yet prevail. The EU could make such unreasonable demands upon us that even the parliamentary traitors might fear the wrath of the people if they acceded to them, although one can put nothing beyond these people. Alternatively the recent comments by Martin Schulz about a superstate could finally convince other nations to leave, as indeed could the arrogance of the European Commission in threatening Hungary, Poland and the Czech Republic with the ECJ over their refusal to accept vast numbers of immigrants from the third world.

For us in the UK the best hope would be that UKIP could win enough parliamentary seats in a general election to force one of the larger parties into a coalition with us, the price of which would be a full and hard Brexit. Whether the British people will, as they have done so often in the past, wake up at the eleventh hour, and vote for the party in sufficient numbers to achieve this, will decide the future of our country for generations.

BWMA

Join the British Weights & Measures Association

Membership is £12 pa, please make payments to 'BWMA', post to: 29 Chart House, Ash Vale, Surrey, GU12 5LS.
Web-site: www.bwmaOnline.com

A CLARIFICATION OF THE SINGLE MARKET & CUSTOMS UNION

BY PETE SMITH

Many people are confused as to what is exactly meant “the Customs Union” and “the Single Market” and what the difference between them actually is. Therefore I have attempted to clarify this below.

The EU Customs Union is a group consisting of EU Member States plus a few territories that are not EU members (such as Monaco and the Isle of Man) that have joined together to remove tariffs, taxes and quotas (that would otherwise make trade more expensive) on goods amongst/between those countries that are part of the same Customs Union.

Furthermore members of the Customs Union also agree to impose tariffs/taxes (all member countries agreeing the same level of tariff or tax) on goods entering from countries outside the Customs Union. Once they are inside the Union the goods can move between Union member countries, tariff free. For example if American cars enter the UK, they pay the common 10% tariff (or tax) that the EU Customs Union imposes on all cars coming from outside their Customs Union, but the cars can then be sold anywhere within the Customs Union countries without having to pay further tariffs.

So long as a country, e.g. the UK is part of the EU Customs Union, it cannot set its own tariffs (or decide no tariffs at all) on goods coming from outside the Union (e.g. goods coming from USA, China, Japan, India etc.) and therefore this is a strong reason for the UK not belonging to the European Customs Union, given the Referendum result.

As regards the E.U. Single Market. As well as removing tariffs and taxes on business and trade amongst those countries that are members of the EU and therefore members of the Single Market, the EU Single Market also requires free

movement of goods, services, capital (money) **and** people, between the countries which are members. For

example, there is no limit on the number of people from Romania, Latvia, Poland or Germany (examples of members of the EU)

that choose to come

to the UK. Conversely, there is no limit on the number of people from the UK that choose to go to Romania, Latvia, Poland or Germany (or any other country within the EU)

The four freedoms (goods, services, capital, people) are enshrined in Brussels’ law and are a non-negotiable part of EU membership.

The freedom of movement of people has been seen as particularly problematic for many as it prevents member nations, such as the UK for example, from controlling EU immigration into the UK.

The single market also seeks to remove “non-tariff” barriers to trade by unifying rules in areas such as packaging, health and safety and food standards, so that standards are the same across all the countries in the Single Market, thus giving a “level playing field” between competing goods & services across and within the E. U. countries.

Unless the EU agrees to compromise on its “four freedoms” rule, especially the movement of people, it is most unlikely that the UK can stay in the Single Market and at the same time honour the result of the Referendum.



Pete Smith: how the Customs Union works.

PROJECT FEAR OR PROJECT FALSE

Over the far too many year the UK has been part of the EU, those who support the EU and eventual destruction of Europe's nation states, which included the UK, have been proven wrong in all their predictions of prosperity in the EU and warnings of disaster for leaving.

They were wrong about the ERM, wrong about the success of the euro, which has created poverty across certain parts of Europe and

have now been proved wrong with their false warnings of their dire consequences if the nation voted leave. It has been reported that the UK economy is on course to overtake France.

The World Economic League Table states that the warnings of recession if the UK voted leave were "exaggerated". The table tracks different economies globally and projects forward 15 years. The Euro fanatics were wrong again.

For page 8 scroll down

FASCISM FULL CIRCLE

The most infamous Olympic games ever was the 1936 Berlin Olympics when the Nazis used them as propaganda for their Fascist ideals, which led to their attempt to dominate Europe. Ironically, considering Spain is under threat of breaking up due to events in the Catalan region, a Spanish lobbying firm has suggested to MEPs the 100th anniversary of those Berlin games should have a team EU competing rather than individual nations.

It seems the idea is to ensure an EU free UK will be defeated regarding the number of medals won by team EU. This irrational act will, if taken up, have two objectives. One is an act of revenge for the UK having the bravery to quit the failing EU empire, the other will help to cement and create that doomed empire.

UKIP MEP, Bill Etheridge, said this suggestion was being taken seriously by some MEPs, "It's a crazy EU effort to destroy national identity", he warned. It will be seen by many as fascism full circle.

JOIN UKIP

The UK's fastest growing and most successful political party.

TEL: 01626 830630

Write to:

PO Box 408

Newton Abbot

TQ12 9BG.

www.ukip.org



JOIN THE BRUGES GROUP
214 Linen Hall, 162-168 Regent
Street, London, W1B 5TB.

Tel: 020 7287 4414

E-mail: info@brugesgroup.com

THE JUNE PRESS BOOKS

Tel: 08456 120175

E-mail: info@Octoberpress.com

Seizing the moment The opportunities for UK fisheries after Brexit by John Ashworth, £4.00. Brexit a golden opportunity. Why the UK must not allow the CFP to be replicated into British law as proposed with the Great "Repeal" Bil, for political convenience or short term vested interests. UK withdrawal can automatically restore control over the UK(EEZ) out to 200nm/midline and all resources therein.

Britain's Referendum Decision and its Effects by Stephen Bush, £8.99. Fact based and clearly written for now and the future, this book will help you to make an informed decision about EU membership.

The Betrayal of British Industry by J. Brian Heywood, £3.00. Experiences of how the UK government has let down the British Industry but helped other EU countries.

What it Will Look Like How leaving the EU and the Single Market can be made to work for Britain by Robert Oulds, Gary Robinson and Dr Lee Rotherham, £5.00. The ten main concerns of leaving the EU are addressed in this publication with a bold vision on how exiting the EU, and even the single market and the customs union, can be made to work for everyone.

Top 10 Reasons to Leave the EU by John Petley, £9.99. This updated, short and easy-to-read book sets out the main issues clearly and succinctly, spelling out the benefits of regaining our independence.

SEND PAYMENTS TO
THE JUNE PRESS LTD
PO Box 119, Totnes, Devon, TQ9 7WA.
Please add 10% P & P

TO CONTACT THE EURO REALIST BULLETIN

Send your e-mails to: euorealistnl@aol.com
The Euro Realist is published by WAEC, write to: "WAEC, 53 Daisy Bank Crescent, Walsall, WS5 3BH. Tel: 01922 631970, or, 07813 153897

The Euro Realist Bulletin is sent out by e-mail only and is free to those who wish to receive it