



The Euro Realist Bulletin

An occasional bulleting

campaigning for an EU free Britain &

Parliamentary sovereignty

Edition 26

JUNE 2016

HAVE FAITH BY DEREK BENNETT

At the time of sending out this bulletin Britain stands on the edge of one of the most important decisions in our long history. From reports received from other anti-EU activists the 'Leave' vote is strong and holding up, despite the scares and lies told by the 'Remain' side. I am hopeful that 'Leave' will win the day and the process of extracting Britain from the madness of EU membership can begin.

However, no vote is safe until all the ballot papers have been counted and there is a worry the people will lose faith in our country and vote 'Remain'. If that tragic occurrence takes place then Britain will be lost, the only hope it will have will lie with UKIP.

If the vote goes the wrong way on June 23rd, within days things will begin to change. The people, too scared to put faith in their own democracy and fooled by the treachery of the 'Remain' campaigns misinformation, will think they will be voting for the EU as it is now, which is bad enough but nowhere near as bad as it is to become. A 'Remain' vote will open the floodgates to everything the EU wants to throw at us - people will be stunned by its ferocity and urgency to implement masses of changes and complete the takeover of the UK.

Voting 'Remain' is like opening the door to the EU and saying come in and help yourself - the EU and its acolytes will have the perfect excuse. Every time they take a liberty with our freedom and democracy and people squeal, they will use the excuse we all voted for it - there

will be nothing to stop them.

In such a case, as mentioned, the only hope for the country will lie with UKIP. There will be no more referendums, the only way the nation will be able to stop the EU and take Britain out of the EU will be to vote for a UKIP Government as only UKIP has the will to free Britain from the EU. Those in the other political parties who campaigned for 'Leave' will have to join UKIP - what will be the point of them staying in their old treasonous parties.

Those of us in the anti-EU campaign will have to fight to the last for the result we want and the country needs. It has been a long hard battle over far too many years, but those years have seen a shift from general ignorance to an awakening, this is a fight we can win - have faith.

Freedom TODAY

**THE MAGAZINE OF THE
FREEDOM ASSOCIATION**

**For membership (cost £30 per annum)
which includes copies of Freedom Today.**

**Contact: The Freedom Association,
Richwood House, 1 Trinity School Lane,
Cheltenham, Gloucestershire, GL52 2JL**

Tel: 0845 8339626

For page 2 scroll down

ROUGH JUSTICE

BY CHRISTOPHER GILL

Over a century ago the then Italian Prime Minister, Signor Giovanni Giolitti, declared that “The Law is something we apply to our enemies; for our friends, we interpret it”.

Judged by the much more recent case history of one Jacques Barrot, in the intervening 100 years, in terms of continental justice, nothing much has changed.

In 2000 M. Barrot had been convicted of embezzlement to the tune of two million £s worth of public money, for which he was given an eight month suspended prison sentence.

That sentence was automatically erased by a French Presidential amnesty and Barrot went on to become (2008-2010) the EU’s Commissioner for Justice !

Bizarrely, when UKIP Leader Nigel Farage MEP drew attention in the European Parliament to Barrot’s ‘previous’ it was Nigel Farage who found himself in hot water for having broken the rule that gave Barrot immunity from having his past record revealed.

For his pains – doing what any free-born British subject would have regarded as being entirely legitimate and uncontroversial – Nigel Farage risked a criminal prosecution under French law and only avoided it thanks to his immunity as an MEP.

Millions of British voters would find it hard to believe that there is such an incredible difference between the justice system as practised in the UK and that extant in the majority of other EU member states, but there is no denying the facts.

Many continentals, politicians and people alike, are resentful of what they see in the Anglo-Saxon world as a sort of unfair buccaneering style of doing business and it’s not difficult to see why they feel that way.

Within the UK jurisdiction and in other common-law countries we are allowed to do whatever we please, provided only that there is no law that forbids it.

On the continent the boot is firmly on the other foot - one may do only that which the law expressly permits ! This applies especially in terms of starting or running a business.



Christopher Gill: critical of European justice.

Said quickly, there may not appear to be much difference, but in reality there is a world of difference, accounting not only for the fact that prior to joining the EU we were a truly free people – the European Arrest Warrant changed that – but, significantly, that common-law countries have generally speaking proved to be more inventive, more industrious, more entrepreneurial and more successful than those countries in which the legal system is purposely designed to maintain the supremacy of the State rather than the liberty of its people.

That millions of British people do find it hard to believe that these fundamental differences exist is because they have not been told.

Knowingly and deliberately our masters have kept us in complete ignorance of these differences.

Not just during the course of the current Referendum campaign but ever since we first contemplated joining what is now called the European Union the protagonists have conned us into believing that it’s all about trade and jobs - had they ever let slip that with membership came an essential loss of sovereignty (infamously denied by Ted Heath of course) and the subordination of British common law to the EU’s corpus juris project, then the game would have been up !

The British simply would not have bought into it !

But the subterfuge continues. For obvious reasons the ‘Remainians’, led by TIC (Traitor-in-Chief) Cameron, have a vested interest in keeping anything related to freedom and democracy firmly off the agenda, but where are the others ?

Where are the voices of reason warning that a vote to remain is a vote to kiss goodbye to British common law safeguards against lengthy imprisonment on no evidence and with no public hearing ?

Where are the jurists warning about the replacement of trial by jury with trial by a case-hardened career judiciary ?

And where are the constitutionalists

Continued on page 3.....

For page 3 scroll down

Rough Justice continued:

warning that if we vote to remain we will thereby be waving farewell to representative parliamentary democracy ?

If the sort of justice system we must in future live our lives under and the ultimate control over who makes the laws we must all obey, are not the most important issues at stake in the Referendum, then I'm a Dutchman !

Without doubt the major factor in many peoples' minds as they go to cast their ballot will be immigration, for others the predominant issues will be the prospects for trade and jobs, or maybe concerns about security in an increasingly uncertain world, but, notwithstanding those perfectly intelligible considerations, let us not forget the immortal words of a former American President, Benjamin Franklin, who, in stark contrast to the current holder of that great office, warned:

"Those who would give up essential liberty to purchase a little temporary safety deserve neither liberty nor safety!"

Christopher Gill is the Freedom Association Hon. President & former Ludlow MP.

ON THURSDAY 23rd JUNE

VOTE LEAVE
THIS IS THE MOST
IMPORTANT VOTE EVER



JOIN THE BRUGES GROUP
214 Linen Hall, 162-168 Regent Street,
London W1B

Tel: 020 7287 4414

E-mail: info@brugesgroup.com

NO RIGHT TO COMPLAIN

BY ANDREA ALLEN

It has long been the right of every free-born British person to grumble about our leaders and rulers, our managers and others 'in authority over us'. We call it "freedom of speech".

On May 6th, the EU removed that right, although few would know it from the silence observed by much of the media (source: Daily Telegraph).

The European Court of Justice ruled that the right to complain about, or otherwise point out, the failings or wrongdoings of the EU Commission is illegal and gave the Commission the right legally to punish "individuals who damage the institution's image and reputation".

Interestingly, in the light of Boris Johnson's remarks about the EU, historically almost the same words were used in the Enabling Act of 1933 which allowed the German government to "abolish civil liberties", including rights of free speech, which were not restored in that country until 1945. Don't take my word for it; the complete transcript of the Act in both German and English is available on line.

Yet another reason to vote Leave on June 23rd.

PAID TO PROMOTE THE EU

Those who care little for the UK, who want Britain to remain in the EU and become subservient to this unelected body, often promote organisations who forecast doom if the UK escapes. Below is a list of those organisations who receive large sums of EU funding - they are paid to promote the EU.

IMF: €168,138. **OECD:** € 30,523,562. **CBI:** €1,350,737. **NFU:** €133,842. **Institute for Fiscal Fiscal Studies:** €7,400,974. **PWG:** €16,304,778. **LSE:** €18,333,273. **Standard & Poor's:** €745,189. **RSPB:** €19,630,265. **WWF:** €45,762,505. **Friends of the Earth:** €17,112,670. **TUC:** €783,473. **NUS:** €767,196. **Unite the Union:** €285,788. **Communication Workers Union:** €25,097. **GMB:** €372,945. **Unison:** €188,893.

All of these above organisations received these very large sums of money from the EU between the years 2006 to 2015. This proves they are not unbiased and cannot be trusted.

WHAT'S NEXT BY COLIN BULLEN

In that wonderful political drama the West Wing the President of the United States famously always enquired, following the end of each crisis, "*What's next?*" and clearly for UKIP and the whole Eurorealist movement this is a question we must face on June 24th. Foolish people have said that, whatever the result, UKIP will no longer have a *raison d'être*, as either they will have won or have been rejected for at least a generation. They could not be more wrong.

If, God forbid, thanks to a combination of cowardice and stupidity, the remain campaign does win then there will nevertheless be a very large part of the British population which will be angered and will have nowhere to turn among the old parties, as all three are insanely committed to destroying our democracy and our nation. In particular, although the tribal loyalty of Conservatives is well known, can those who have supported the leave campaign, frequently passionately, really just turn back to those spivs Cameron and Osborne and go along with their programme of bending the knee to the Brussels bureaucrats. If they have any of the spirit that once typified this country I cannot believe that they will and therefore UKIP will provide the only democratic alternative. Despite what the media believe, I know from my contacts that large numbers of Labour supporters are in favour of leaving the EU and, as the effects of continued membership begin to really impinge on the jobs and hopes of the working class, surely they must look for an alternative to the municipal liberals who have captured their party. I accept the Liberal Democrats are a lost cause but then they still believe in Father Christmas and the Easter bunny and their paltry vote is the result.

If, on the other hand, right triumphs and the country votes to leave then we will have a great struggle on our hands to ensure that such a result is actually implemented, as those who question the need for UKIP to exist after the referendum are not taking into account the fact that, should the British people vote to leave, the



Colin Bullen: a question to face on June 24th

I know from my contacts that large numbers of Labour supporters are in favour of leaving the EU

negotiations will be in the hands of a pro EU political establishment, using the EU's article 50 as a means of prolonging matters until a general election can negate the result. Only the continued threat of massive support for UKIP can concentrate the minds of those who will otherwise seize every opportunity to ignore public opinion.

Article 50 is a device designed to ensure that, even if a country votes to leave, it will remain entangled in such a way that it will effectively remain under the control of Brussels. Should we follow that path we would find ourselves in a similar position to Norway or Switzerland, who, while not members, are burdened with costs and unnecessary regulations, thanks to their failure to break with the EU entirely. We must follow the example of the Baltic States when leaving the USSR. Despite the existence of a similar clause they merely declared a unilateral and unconditional withdrawal, freeing themselves immediately. We can do the same by repealing the European Communities Act. Any subsequent negotiations can then be based on the UK being an independent, sovereign nation, not a supplicant province requesting favours. The survival of our democracy and nation are too important to be sabotaged by lawyers' quibbles.

We can be sure that our enemies will use every trick in the book to keep us within the EU. If they succeed, either in the referendum, or in a subsequent undermining of a vote to leave, then only UKIP will offer a democratic means of escape from the grip of Brussels.

The answer to the question "*What's next?*" is therefore simple. To continue the struggle until victory is won or to see this great country finally disappear, its democracy destroyed and its people subject to the dictatorship of unaccountable bureaucrats and the sinister, pseudo-fascist forces which have always sought to rule Europe. We in UKIP know what we choose and, as such, represent the best, possibly the only, hope for the future of the UK.

For page 5 scroll down

BACK TO BASICS

BY JOHN PETLEY

By the time this article appears in print, I am hoping that I will have been enjoying the sight of apple blossom, courtesy of the trees in our garden. It is a lovely sight, but not as spectacular as the blossom on the ornamental cherry tree. You plant apple trees because of the fruit they produce. Attractive as the blossom may be, it is a bonus, not the Unique Selling Point (USP) of an apple tree.

Why, therefore, does the recent leaflet from HM Government entitled “*Why the Government believes that voting to remain in the European Union is the best decision for the UK*” not mention the EU’s USP? There are eight mentions of the Single Market, which we could still access from outside the EU if we re-joined the European Free Trade Area (EFTA) and there is much talk about uncertainty and risk if we left but nowhere is the word “sovereignty” mentioned. Isn’t this a bit strange? The defining characteristic of the EU project is that nations pool their sovereignty and progressively hollow out their own national political institutions, handing over sovereignty to the EU’s supranational bodies in order to create a federal superstate.

A document telling us to stay in which completely fails to mention this subject is rather like a catalogue from a supplier of fruit trees full of lovely pictures of apple blossom but without a single picture of a nice ripe apple or the slightest indication that you buy apple trees because you can eat of their produce.

Unfortunately, when it comes to the European Union, this has been the line taken by our politicians for many years now. Peter Thorneycroft, one of the first UK politicians to embrace the European Project set the tone of the debate in 1947, many years before we joined: “No government dependent on a democratic vote could possibly agree in advance to the sacrifice that any adequate plan must involve. The British people must be led slowly and unconsciously into the abandonment of their traditional economic defences.”

This does pose the question as to why, even 43 years after we joined, Mr Cameron’s

government is still so reluctant to go back to basics and extol the virtues of the EU’s USP. If the EU is such a good thing, why does its principal objective have to be hidden from sight? Why does it require a fear campaign to persuade us to stay in? It is hardly honest.

I have found in the debates in which I have participated that my opponents have not felt comfortable when I have raised these issues. This is hardly surprising. An opinion poll conducted by Ipsos Mori in October 2014 which gave a clear lead to supporters of “remain”, (61% to 39% once “don’t knows” are excluded), also showed that only 14% of respondents supported closer EU integration. In other words, there is very little support for the EU’s USP.

The “remain” camp is currently fighting a campaign based not only on fear and misinformation but also on a reluctance to talk about what the EU is really all about. This in turn means that a vote to stay in will be a pyrrhic victory. It will not have resolved the issue at all. The UK population’s fundamental dislike of

Brussels and foreign interference will not have been addressed and so we will carry on as before, a grumpy, uncomfortable member of a club which we do not really like.

This would be a very unsatisfactory result for everyone, not least David Cameron, who would go down in history not as the man who solved the UK’s problem with Brussels but instead as the man who cut and run and thus prolonged the unhappiness of his fellow-countrymen.

It therefore behoves us to redouble our efforts to ensure this does not happen by securing a vote to leave. Rejoining EFTA and thus accessing the Single Market via the EEA agreement does address all the concerns of the business community and will ensure that trade continues seamlessly. We can ignore the recent Treasury report, which refused to acknowledge that there could be any benefits from leaving via this route and which seemed deliberately to misunderstand and understate the degree of access to the Single Market enjoyed by the EFTA countries. **Continued on page 6.....**

.....
The defining characteristic of the EU project is that nations pool their sovereignty

For page 6 scroll down

Back to Basics continued:

It is vital that the debate moves on from these issues to focus on the EU's USP. Many of our countrymen still do not understand what the object of the EU is. Once it is explained to them, as long as we can convince them that there will be no economic Armageddon upon withdrawal, we will start to see the polls turning in our direction.

BWMA

Join the British Weights & Measures Association

Membership is £12 pa, please make payments to 'BWMA', post to: 98 Eastley Road, Croydon, Surrey, CR0 3TE.
Web-site: www.bwmaOnline.com

JOIN



Membership is £20 a year or £10 for OAPs

Write to:

CIB, 3 Stamford Drive, Leicester, LE6 0YD.

Contact

admin@campaignforanindependentbritain.org

Web-site: www.eurosceptic.org.uk

Tel: 0845 5197254

JOIN UKIP

The UK's fastest growing political party campaigning for a Britain free of the EU

TEL: 01626 830630

Write to:

PO Box 408
Newton Abbot
TQ12 9BG.
www.ukip.org



THE JUNE PRESS BOOKS

Tel: 08456 120175

E-mail: info@junepress.com

Elephant In The Room by David Challice, £6.50 . A follow up to his highly successful book "The View From Here". A further collection of bite-sized nuggets of information regarding the UK/EU situation produced over the last 8 years.

A Doomed Marriage: Britain And Europe by Daniel Hannan, £8.99. In this incisive, clear-sighted and persuasive essay, Hannan powerfully dissects the myths by which the EU defines itself and addresses the key issues everyone should consider when voting on the EU referendum. Hannan portrays an independent future for Britain in which, free of the constraints of EU membership, she could become one of the most successful nations in the world.

Top 10 Reasons to Leave the EU by John Petley, £9.99. This short and easy-to-read book sets out the main issues clearly and succinctly, spelling out the benefits of regaining our independence.

Britain's Global Leadership The positive future for a UK outside the EU by Ewen Stewart, £5.00, Why Britain is uniquely positioned globally in terms of economics, cultural and soft and hard power assets. Emerging markets by 2018 are expected to account for 45% of world GDP, the EU share will have declined from 34.1% to 20.2%. Nations that can address this extraordinary shift in global growth will capitalise most effectively with these new trade flows.

**SEND PAYMENTS TO
THE JUNE PRESS LTD**

TO CONTACT THE EURO REALIST BULLETIN

Send your e-mails to: eurorealistnl@aol.com
The Euro Realist is published by WAEC, write to: "WAEC, 53 Daisy Bank Crescent, Walsall, WS5 3BH.Tel: 01922 631970, or, 07813 153897

The Euro Realist Bulletin is sent out by e-mail only and is free to those who wish to receive it